RESEARCH

Annals of Intensive Care



Clinical description and outcome of overall varicella-zoster virus-related organ dysfunctions admitted in intensive care units: the VAZOREA cohort study

Jolan Malherbe^{1*}, Pierre Godard², Jean-Claude Lacherade³, Valentin Coirier⁴, Laurent Argaud⁵, Hervé Hyvernat⁶, Francis Schneider⁷, Julien Charpentier⁸, Florent Wallet^{9,10}, Juliette Pocquet¹¹, Gaëtan Plantefeve¹², Jean-Pierre Quenot¹³, Pierre Bay^{14,15}, Agathe Delbove¹⁶, Hugues Georges¹⁷, Tomas Urbina¹⁸, David Schnell¹⁹, Charlène Le Moal²⁰, Matthieu Stanowski²¹, Corentin Muris²², Maud Jonas²³, Bertrand Sauneuf²⁴, Olivier Lesieur²⁵, Amaury Lhermitte²⁶, Laure Calvet²⁷, Ines Gueguen²⁸ and Damien du Cheyron¹

Abstract

Background Due to aging population and increasing part of immunocompromised patients, a raise in lifethreatening organ damage related to VZV can be expected. Two retrospective studies were already conducted on VZV in ICU but focused on specific organ injury. Patients with high-risk of VZV disease still must be identified. The objective of this study was to report the clinical features and outcome of all life-threatening VZV manifestations requiring intensive care unit (ICU) admission. This retrospective cohort study was conducted in 26 French ICUs and included all adult patients with any life-threatening VZV-related event requiring ICU admission or occurring in ICU between 2010 and 2019.

Results One-hundred nineteen patients were included with a median SOFA score of 6. One hundred eight patients (90.8%) were admitted in ICU for VZV disease, leaving 11 (9.2%) with VZV disease occurring in ICU. Sixty-one patients (51.3%) were immunocompromised. Encephalitis was the most prominent organ involvement (55.5%), followed by pneumonia (44.5%) and hepatitis (9.2%). Fifty-four patients (45.4%) received norepinephrine, 72 (60.5% of the total cohort) needed invasive mechanical ventilation, and 31 (26.3%) received renal-replacement therapy. In-hospital mortality was 36.1% and was significantly associated with three independent risk factors by multivariable logistic regression: immunosuppression, VZV disease occurring in ICU and alcohol abuse. Hierarchical clustering on principal components revealed five phenotypically distinct clusters of patients: VZV-related pneumonia, mild encephalitis, severe encephalitis in solid organ transplant recipients, encephalitis in other immunocompromised hosts and VZV disease occurring in ICU. In-hospital mortality was highly different across phenotypes, ranging from zero to 75% (p < 0.001).

*Correspondence: Jolan Malherbe malherbe-j@chu-caen.fr

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Conclusion Overall, severe VZV manifestations are associated with high mortality in the ICU, which appears to be driven by immunosuppression status rather than any specific organ involvement. Deciphering the clinical phenotypes may help clinicians identify high-risk patients and assess prognosis.

Keywords Varicella-Zoster virus, Intensive care units, Encephalitis, Pneumonia, Immunocompromised host

Background

Varicella-zoster virus (VZV) is a ubiquitous herpesvirus known to cause infections in humans, mostly during childhood [1–3]. In the Western world, VZV seroprevalence is approximately 80 to 90% of the adult population [4, 5]. After primary infection, VZV remains latent in the sensitive dorsal-root or cranial nerve ganglia from where it is able to reactivate during immunosuppression or aging [2, 3, 6]. Recent progress in the diagnosis and management of patients with malignancies or autoimmune diseases has increased the proportion of immunocompromised patients [7–12]. Together with the aging population, this phenomenon has led to an increased incidence of Herpes zoster [13–16]. Consequently, a raise in life-threatening organ damage related to VZV may be expected.

Long considered a mild disease, VZV-associated disease is now increasingly identified as life-threatening, leading to organ dysfunctions and intensive care unit (ICU) admissions [17, 18]. Indeed, pneumonia represents the most frequent and severe VZV-related organ involvement accounting for up to 16.3% of chickenpox cases in the adulthood, with a hospital mortality of 24% for those requiring ICU admission [1, 3, 19-22]. Varicella-zoster virus also represents an increasing cause of encephalitis being now recognized as the second encephalitis agent and the first in immunocompromised patients, representing 4 to 14% of total cases [23, 24]. In a recent nationwide cohort study, VZV encephalitis was associated with a 11% 3-month mortality and an unfavourable outcome in 69% of cases [25].

Despite an increasing incidence and proven severity, especially in immunocompromised patients [26], literature data on life-threatening VZV-related events are scarce and focus on specific organ injuries [22, 25, 27]. High-risk patients and their clinical presentations must still be identified as they may benefit from prompt antiviral therapy or prophylactic strategies.

Therefore, we conducted a multicentre cohort study aiming to report the characteristics and prognosis of all patients with any severe VZV-related organ injury requiring ICU admission.

Partial results of this cohort study were reported at the French Intensive Care Society annual congress and published as abstract [28].

Methods

The present study was approved by the French Intensive Care Society ethics committee (CE SRLF #20–38). Collection of patient data in a database and their analysis was authorized by the French data protection agency (#920460). In accordance with French law on retrospective anonymized data, a waiver for informed consent was obtained.

The study complies with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement guidelines (Additional file 1).

Study design

This retrospective multicentre cohort study was conducted in 26 French ICUs (Additional file 2: Table 1). Adult patients (\geq 18 years of age) with severe VZV manifestations admitted from January 2010 to December 2019 were identified from the electronic hospital databases based on codes B01, B02, G02, G05 and J17.1, from the International Classification of Diseases – 10th revision. Severe VZV manifestations were defined as requiring ICU admission. Cutaneous herpes zoster was not included. VZV disease was defined as occurring in ICU when the first symptoms appeared more than 48 h after ICU admission and led to new organ dysfunction. All cases were reviewed by local investigators and classified based on medical charts.

Data collection and definitions

Patient data were extracted from the medical records of participating centres. Baseline characteristics including demographics, chronic comorbidities, immunocompromised status, use of antiviral prophylaxis, other infections, main reason for ICU admission, sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) and simplified acute physiology (SAPS II) scores [29, 30], VZV-related organ injury, method of diagnosis, and routine laboratory parameters were collected on admission. Alcohol abuse was defined according to French guidelines on alcohol consumption (at-risk use of alcohol) [31]. Immunosuppression was defined as ongoing solid tumour or cured less than five years before admission, any haematologic malignancy, autoimmune disease, solid organ transplant, primary immune deficit, HIV infection, use of systemic corticosteroids (\geq 5 mg/day of prednisone or equivalent) or immunosuppressive drugs. VZV-related pneumonia was considered in case of acute respiratory failure and no other cause deemed as probable as VZV. Acute

respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) was defined according to the Berlin definition [32]. Encephalitis was considered in patients with signs of central nervous system involvement (consciousness disorder - which is the major criterion for encephalitis based on International Encephalitis Consortium guidelines [33] –, seizures or focal signs). Sepsis and septic shock were defined according to the Sepsis-3 definition [34]. Hepatitis was defined as an elevation of alanine and aspartate aminotransferases as defined by the 2017 guidelines of the American College of Gastroenterology [35]. VZV-associated pancreatitis was defined according to the 2012 revised Atlanta definition for acute pancreatitis [36]. During ICU stay, collection of data included in-ICU management, ICU-acquired infections, and outcomes (ICU and hospital mortality, and occurrence of acute kidney injury as defined by the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcome criteria based on creatinine [37]).

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as median (interquartile range, IQR), and categorical variables as numbers (percentages). Between-group comparisons were performed by Mann-Whitney or Kruskal-Wallis tests for continuous variables, and Fisher exact test for categorical variables.

Two multidimensional unsupervised factorial methods were used for description of data and clustering of patients: first multiple component analysis (MCA), used as pre-processing, then hierarchical clustering on principal components (HCPC). Factorial analyses are descriptive statistical methods representing data as multidimensional scatter plots that are used to describe correlations between variables or individuals. The variables used for these analyses were age and gender, SOFA score, comorbidities, immunosuppression status (as previously defined), VZV disease occurring in or outside ICU, organ injury, use of life-sustaining therapies, occurrence of ICU-acquired infection, ICU and hospital mortality, lymphocyte and platelet counts on admission.

As HCPC can only be used with quantitative data, MCA was used to pre-process qualitative variables. Data processed using MCA were then subjected to HCPC, using Ward's method to merge patients into clusters. The number of clusters was defined to minimize loss of inertia. A graphical representation of patients and clusters was produced by projecting the patients on a factorial plan, using the first two dimensions to summarize the maximum of data variability. As a diagnosis relying only on clinical examination could be a source of bias, a sensitivity factorial analysis was built after exclusion of these patients. To identify risk factors associated with hospital mortality, a multivariable logistic regression was performed, with a ratio of 1 variable per 8 events. Three variables were selected based on the existing literature (age, SOFA score and immunocompromised status) [22, 25, 27]. After univariable logistic regression, the two variables most associated with hospital mortality were also included in a multivariable model.

All analyses were performed with R version 4.0.5 (R foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; https://www.R-project.org/) with FactoMineR and Factoshiny packages for MCA and HCPC. All tests were two-sided, and a *p* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Study population

From January 2010 to December 2019, 222,118 patients were admitted in the 26 participating ICUs and 119 were included in the study (0.054%) with a median age of 66 years (Additional file 2: Fig. 1). Main characteristics of cases are presented in Table 1.

Sixty-one patients (51.3%) were immunosuppressed. The main causes of immunosuppression were haematologic malignancies with a majority of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (Additional file 2: Table 2), autoimmune diseases and solid organ transplant. Among patients treated with immunosuppressive drugs, 33.3% (8 out of 24) were receiving more than one therapy (Additional file 2: Table 2).

VZV-related organ injury was dominated by encephalitis (66 cases representing 55.5% of the cohort) and pneumonia (53 patients, 44.5%), including 26 patients (21.8%) presenting with ARDS. Pancreatitis did not appear solely but rather in a context of multiple organ injury. Considering hepatitis, only three patients presented with isolated liver injury, the remaining eight took part of a multiple organ injury. Six out of eleven patients developed severe hepatitis, defined by a prothrombin time below 50%. A typical vesicular skin rash was present in 74.8% of cases. Twenty-three patients (19.3%) were included in the study based on clinical examination only (without virological detection of VZV).

Eleven patients were classified as VZV disease occurring in ICU. Among them, two demonstrated cytopathic effect on biopsy. There was no difference with patients admitted in ICU with VZV disease, except for a prolonged stay in ICU before the onset of VZV disease (32.5 days [22–54]), a prolonged duration of mechanical ventilation (9 [4–19] vs. 23 [17–51] days, p=0.003) and a higher rate of renal replacement therapy (RRT) (25 (23.5%) vs. 6 (54.5%), p=0.04) (Table 1).

Table 1 Main features of the 119 patients based on ICU admission with or without VZV disease

Characteristics	Overall (n = 119) Admission with VZV disease (n = 108)		VZV disease occur- ring in ICU (n = 11)	Р	
Age (vears), median [IOR]	66 [45-75]	45.5 [43.75-75.25]	66 [46.5–74]	0.96	
Female sex at birth, n (%)	46 (38.7)	44 (40.7)	2 (18.2)	0.2	
SQFA score	6 [2-9]	5.5 [2-9]	8 [4-10.5]	0.47	
SAPS II score	40 [26-60.25]	40 [26-61]	40 [29.5-52]	0.87	
Hypertension $n(\%)$	51 (42 9)	45 (41 7)	6 (54 5)	0.53	
liver cirrhosis $n(\%)$	10 (84)	8 (7 4)	2 (18 2)	0.23	
Alcohol abuse $n(\%)$	18 (15 1)	14 (13)	2 (10.2) 4 (36.4)	0.25	
Chronic kidney disease n (%)	19 (16)	16 (14 8)	3 (27 3)	0.38	
Type 2 diabetes mellitus n (%)	18 (15 1)	16 (14.8)	2 (18 2)	0.50	
Immunocompromised ¹ n (%)	61 (51 3)	54 (50)	7 (63.6)	0.53	
Solid tumour, n (%)	12 (10 1)	9 (8 3)	7 (03.0) 3 (27.3)	0.55	
Haomatologic malignancy n (%)	72 (10.1)	23 (21 2)	0 (0)	0.00	
	25 (19.5)	23 (21.3)	1 (0 1)	1	
Solid organ transplant n (%)	12 (10.0)	10 (0.2)	2 (2.1)	0.1	
Conticostaroida n (%)	13 (10.9)	10 (9.5)	2 (27.2)	0.7	
	27 (22.7)	24 (22.2)	5 (27.5) 2 (27.2)	0.71	
Infinutiosuppressive drugs, <i>n (%)</i>	24 (20.2)	21 (19.4)	3 (27.3)	0.09	
	4 (3.4)	3 (2.8)	1 (9.1)	0.33	
Pregnancy, n (%)	4 (3.4)	3 (2.8)	1 (9.1)	0.33	
Time between hospital presentation and ICU admission (days)	2 [0-5]	2 [0-5]	2 [0.5-20.5]	0.34	
Time between first symptoms and ICU admission (days)	-4 [-/2]	-4 [-/2]	32.5 [22–54]	< 0.001	
Main reason for ICU admission, n (%)	54 (40.0)		2 (1 2 2)	0.13	
Neurologic	51 (42.9)	49 (45.4)	2 (18.2)		
Respiratory	45 (37.8)	39 (36.1)	6 (54.5)		
Circulatory	4 (3.4)	3 (2.8)	1 (9.1)		
Multiple organ failure	10 (8.4)	10 (9.3)	0 (0)		
Other	9 (7.6)	7 (6.5)	2 (18.2)		
VZV-related organ injury, n (%)					
VZV vesicular skin rash	89 (74.8)	81 (75)	8 (72.7)	1	
Encephalitis	66 (55.5)	62 (57.4)	4 (36.4)	0.21	
Pancreatitis	5 (4.2)	5 (4.6)	0 (0)	1	
Hepatitis	11 (9.2)	9 (8.3)	2 (18.2)	0.27	
Pneumonia	53 (44.5)	48 (44.4)	5 (45.5)	1	
ARDS	26 (21.8)	23 (21.3)	3 (27.3)	0.7	
Laboratory parameters on ICU admission					
Lymphocyte count (/mm³)	845 [378.25–1670]	865 [372.75–1730]	700 [500–935]	0.39	
Platelets (G/L)	156.5 [90–240.25]	147 [91–231]	261 [162–283]	0.08	
CSF leucocyte count (/mm ³) ²	76 [15.5–223]	84.5 [16–262.25]	13 [7.5–44.5]	0.16	
In-ICU management					
Norepinephrine, n (%)	54 (45.4)	47 (43.5)	7 (63.6)	0.22	
Invasive mechanical ventilation, n (%)	72 (60.5)	63 (58.3)	9 (81.8)	0.11	
Duration of invasive mechanical ventilation, n (%)	10 [4.75–20]	9 [4–19]	23 [17–51]	0.003	
Renal replacement therapy, n (%)	31 (26.3)	25 (23.4)	6 (54.5)	0.04	
Antiviral therapy, n (%)	117 (98.3)	107 (99.1)	10 (90.9)	0.09	
Other ICU-acquired infection, n (%)	44 (37)	37 (34.6)	7 (63.6)	0.1	
Withholding or withdrawing of life sustaining therapy, n (%)	15 (12.6)	13 (12)	2 (18.2)	0.68	
Prognosis					
ICU mortality, <i>n (%)</i>	37 (31.1)	30 (27.8)	7 (63.6)	0.03	
Hospital mortality, <i>n (%)</i>	43 (36.1)	35 (32.4)	8 (72.7)	0.02	

¹Defined as ongoing solid tumour or cured less than 5 years prior, hematologic malignancy, autoimmune disease, solid organ transplant, primary immune deficit, HIV infection, corticosteroids, or immunosuppressive drugs

²Four missing values

In-ICU management

On ICU admission, patients presented with severe illness as indicated by high SAPS II and SOFA scores (40 [26–60.25] and 6 [2–9] respectively, Table 1).

The median time from hospital presentation to ICU admission was 2 days (IQR 0–5). A longer time delay was significantly associated with hospital mortality by univariable analysis (1 [0–3] vs. 2 [1–11.5] days, p=0.002). Among the 117 patients treated with antiviral therapy, 113 received acyclovir, 3 received valacyclovir and only one patient received ganciclovir (concomitant CMV viraemia) (Additional file 2: Table 2). By univariable

analysis, survivors received their first antiviral infusion sooner (1 [0–3] vs. 2 [1–6.75] days, p=0.01) (Table 2).

Fifty-four patients (45.4%) received norepinephrine, with a median maximum dosing of 0.42 μ g/kg/min (IQR 0.22–0.95) and a median duration of 3 days (IQR 1–5). Respiratory support was used in 99 patients (83.9%), 72.7% of them (72 patients) needed invasive mechanical ventilation for a median duration of ten days (IQR 4.75–20). Most patients were intubated during their first day following ICU admission. RRT was used in 31 patients (26.3%) (Table 1).

Multivariable

 Table 2
 Univariable and multivariable analyses of factors associated with in-hospital mortality

 Univariable analysis

				analysis	
Characteristics	Survivors (n=76)	Nonsurvivors (n = 43)	Р	OR [95% CI]	Р
Age, median [IQR]	63.5 [41.5–74]	70 [51.5–76.5]	0.05	1.02 [0.99–1.04]	0.23
SOFA score	4.5 [2-8]	8 [4–11]	0.01	1.09 [0.98–1.21]	0.12
SAPS II score	34 [21.5–53]	53.5 [36.75–68.25]	< 0.001		
Hypertension, n (%)	27 (35.5)	24 (55.8)	0.04		
Alcohol abuse, n (%)	6 (7.9)	12 (27.9)	0.006	4.48 [1.36–16.27]	0.02
Immunocompromised ¹ , <i>n (%)</i>	30 (39.5)	31 (72.1)	0.001	3.43 [1.4–8.99]	0.01
Type 2 diabetes mellitus, <i>n (%)</i>	7 (9.2)	11 (25.6)	0.03		
Time between hospital presentation and ICU admission ² (days)	1 [0-3]	3 [1-11.5]	0.002		
VZV disease on ICU admission, n (%)	73 (96.1)	35 (81.4)	0.02		
VZV disease occurring in ICU, n (%)	3 (3.9)	8 (18.6)	0.02	4.5 [1.02–25.36]	0.05
VZV-related organ injury, n (%)					
Vesicular skin rash	61 (80.3)	28 (65.1)	0.11		
Encephalitis	41 (53.9)	25 (58.1)	0.7		
Pancreatitis	3 (3.9)	2 (4.7)	1		
Hepatitis	4 (5.3)	7 (16.3)	0.1		
Pneumonia	35 (46.1)	18 (41.9)	0.7		
ARDS	14 (18.4)	12 (27.9)	0.25		
Laboratory values on ICU admission					
Lactate (mmol/L)	1.2 [0.8–2.35]	1.7 [1.25-2.65]	0.08		
Leucocyte count (/mm³)	8800 [6225–11,850]	11,310 [7575–13,895]	0.02		
Lymphocyte count (/mm³)	895 [502.5–1650]	585 [285–1575]	0.15		
Platelets (G/L)	162 [96–239.5]	147 [78.5–238.5]	0.85		
CSF leucocyte count (/mm ³) ³	130 [30–330]	19 [6.75–74.75]	0.004		
CSF protein count (g/L) ³	0.94 [0.61-1.8]	0.96 [0.65–2.32]	0.49		
In ICU management					
Norepinephrine, n (%)	27 (35.5)	27 (62.8)	0.01		
Dobutamine, n (%)	1 (1.3)	2 (4.7)	0.3		
Invasive mechanical ventilation, n (%)	37 (48.7)	35 (81.4)	0.01		
Renal replacement therapy, <i>n (%)</i>	12 (15.8)	19 (45.2)	0.001		
Antiviral therapy, <i>n (%)</i>	76 (100)	41 (97.6)	0.36		
Time between hospital presentation and first antiviral infusion ² (days)	1 [0-3]	2 [1–6.75]	0.01		
Systemic corticosteroids for VZV infection, n (%)	5 (6.8)	5 (12.2)	0.52		
ICU-acquired infection, n (%)	25 (32.9)	19 (45.2)	0.23		
>1 episode, <i>n (%)</i>	4 (5.3)	8 (19)	0.03	2.57 [0.64–11.58]	0.19

¹Defined as ongoing solid tumour or cured less than 5 years prior, hematologic malignancy, autoimmune disease, solid organ transplant, primary immune deficit, HIV infection, corticosteroids, or immunosuppressive drugs

²VZV disease occurring in ICU excluded

³Four missing values

Acyclovir median dosing regimen of 30 mg/kg/day (IQR 30–45). The regimen was in compliance with current French guidelines [38] in 68.5% of cases (Additional file 2: Table 2). Ten patients (8.7%) received systemic corticosteroids as a rescue therapy for VZV-related events, which was not associated with hospital prognosis (Table 2).

Prognosis

Overall, 37 patients died in ICU (31.1%) and 43 (36.1%) died in hospital. Factors associated with hospital mortality by univariable and multivariable analyses are presented in Table 2.

Survivors were younger (63.5 [41.5–74] vs. 70 [50.5–76.5] years old), had fewer comorbidities, were less likely to be immunocompromised (30 out of 76 (39.5%) vs. 31 out of 43 (72.1%), p=0.001), and a fewer of them had VZV disease occurring in ICU (3 out of 76 (3.9%) vs. 8 out of 43 (18.6%), p=0.02).

By univariable analysis, other factors associated with hospital mortality were alcohol abuse, higher SOFA and SAPS II scores, higher leucocyte count on admission but lower leucocyte count in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), use of norepinephrine, invasive mechanical ventilation, RRT, and occurrence of multiple ICU-acquired infections. Interestingly, the type of VZV-related organ injury was not associated with hospital mortality.

Five clinically relevant characteristics associated with hospital mortality in univariable analysis were included in the multivariable model (one explaining variable per eight events). Multivariable logistic regression analysis identified VZV disease occurring in ICU (OR 4.5 [1.02–25.36], p=0.05), immunocompromised status (OR 3.43 [1.4–8.99], p=0.01) and alcohol abuse (OR 4.48 [1.36–16.27], p=0.02) as independent factors associated with hospital mortality (Table 2).

Unsupervised clustering analysis

Clustering analysis led to the constitution of five clinically distinct phenotypes. The factorial plan, which represents a graphical distribution of patients and clusters is provided in Fig. 1 (with hierarchical tree in Additional





These first two dimensions summarize 20.8% of the data variability. The five clusters are represented with different colours. Black dots represent patients with mild encephalitis, red dots are for VZV-related pneumonia, green dots for severe encephalitis in immunocompromised patients, blue dots for VZV-disease occurring in ICU, and turquoise for severe encephalitis in solid organ transplant recipients

Table 3 Between-group differences based on clustering analysis

Characteristics	Cluster 1 (n=13)	Cluster 2 (<i>n</i> = 29)	Cluster 3 (<i>n</i> = 56)	Cluster 4 (n=8)	Cluster 5 (<i>n</i> = 12)	Р
Age, median [IQR]	42 [27–76]	44 [36–54]	73 [62.5–79.25]	69.5 [46.75–75.5]	64.5 [56.75– 68.25]	< 0.001
Female sex at birth, n (%)	5 (38.5)	7 (24.1)	27 (48.2)	1 (12.5)	5 (41.7)	0.13
SOFA score, median [IQR]	2 [1-4]	5 [2–8]	7 [3–9]	7 [2.25–9.75]	8.5 [5–10.25]	0.006
SAPS II score, median [IQR]	20 [12–34]	26 [18–37]	53.5 [35–66.75]	45 [37–56.5]	36 [32.5–51]	< 0.001
Hypertension, n (%)	3 (23.1)	4 (13.8)	33 (58.9)	4 (50)	7 (58.3)	< 0.001
Alcohol abuse, n (%)	1 (7.7)	3 (10.3)	7 (12.5)	2 (25)	5 (41.7)	0.09
Liver cirrhosis, n (%)	0 (0)	1 (3.4)	1 (1.8)	0 (0)	8 (66.7)	< 0.001
Chronic kidney disease, n (%)	0 (0)	1 (3.4)	9 (16.1)	2 (25)	7 (58.3)	< 0.001
Type 2 diabetes, <i>n (%)</i>	0 (0)	0 (0)	13 (23.2)	1 (12.5)	4 (33.3)	0.003
Immunocompromised ¹ , <i>n (%)</i>	3 (23.1)	6 (20.7)	35 (62.5)	4 (50)	12 (100)	< 0.001
Solid tumour, <i>n (%)</i>	0 (0)	3 (10.3)	5 (8.9)	2 (25)	2 (16.7)	0.32
Haematologic malignancy, <i>n (%)</i>	2 (15.4)	1 (3.4)	17 (30.4)	0 (0)	2 (16.7)	0.02
Autoimmune disease, n (%)	1 (7.7)	1 (3.4)	14 (25)	1 (12.5)	1 (8.3)	0.08
Solid organ transplant, <i>n (%)</i>	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1.8)	0 (0)	12 (100)	< 0.001
Corticosteroids, n (%)	1 (7.7)	1 (3.4)	14 (25)	2 (25)	9 (75)	< 0.001
Immunosuppressive drugs, <i>n (%)</i>	0 (0)	1 (3.4)	11 (19.6)	0 (0)	12 (100)	< 0.001
Time between hospital presentation and ICU admission ² (days)	0 [0–0]	0 [0-2]	2.5 [1–6]	-	22 [3–23]	< 0.001
Time between hospital presentation and first symptoms ² (days)	-2 [-41]	-3 [-4.5 1.5]	-1 [-3–0]	-	16 [3–21]	0.02
Other Herpesviridae reactivation, n (%)	0 (0)	1 (3.4)	6 (10.7)	3 (37.5)	1 (8.3)	0.07
VZV disease occurring in ICU, n (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	8 (100)	3 (25)	< 0.001
VZV-related organ injury						
Vesicular skin rash, <i>n (%)</i>	10 (76.9)	29 (100)	36 (64.3)	6 (75)	7 (58.3)	0.005
Encephalitis, n (%)	10 (76.9)	2 (6.9)	42 (75)	2 (25)	10 (83.3)	< 0.001
Pancreatitis, <i>n (%)</i>	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (8.9)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.41
Hepatitis, n (%)	3 (23.1)	1 (3.4)	5 (8.9)	2 (25)	0 (0)	0.1
Pneumonia, <i>n (%)</i>	0 (0)	29 (100)	16 (28.6)	4 (50)	3 (25)	< 0.001
ARDS, n (%)	0 (0)	16 (55.2)	5 (8.9)	3 (37.5)	1 (8.3)	< 0.001
In-ICU management						
Norepinephrine, n (%)	1 (7.7)	13 (44.8)	28 (50)	6 (75)	5 (41.7)	0.02
Invasive mechanical ventilation, n (%)	0 (0)	13 (44.8)	43 (76.8)	7 (87.5)	9 (75)	< 0.001
Neuromuscular blockers, n (%)	0 (0)	12 (41.4)	3 (5.4)	2 (25)	0 (0)	< 0.001
Renal replacement therapy, <i>n (%)</i>	0 (0)	7 (24.1)	14 (25)	5 (62.5)	5 (41.7)	0.01
Antiviral drugs, <i>n (%)</i>	13 (100)	29 (100)	56 (100)	7 (87.5)	12 (100)	0.07
Time between hospital presentation and first antiviral infusion ² (days)	0 [0–0]	0 [0-1]	2 [1–5]	-	20 [3–26]	< 0.001
Hospital-acquired infection, n (%)	0 (0)	11 (37.9)	21 (37.5)	4 (50)	8 (66.7)	0.005
Withholding or withdrawing of life-sustaining therapies, n (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	9 (16.1)	2 (25)	3 (25)	0.64
Prognosis						
ICU mortality, <i>n (%)</i>	0 (0)	3 (10.3)	20 (35.7)	5 (62.5)	8 (66.7)	< 0.001
Hospital mortality, n (%)	0 (0)	3 (10.3)	25 (44.6)	6 (75)	8 (66.7)	< 0.001

¹Defined as ongoing solid tumour or cured less than 5 years prior, hematologic malignancy, autoimmune disease, solid organ transplant, primary immune deficit, HIV infection, corticosteroids, or immunosuppressive drugs

²VZV disease occurring in ICU excluded

file 2: Fig. 2). Detailed features of the five clusters are displayed in Table 3.

least severe phenotype with a median SOFA score of 2 (IQR 1–4) and no hospital mortality.

The first cluster (n=13) was composed of young patients (median age 42 [27–76]) without comorbidities. ICU admission was mainly due to VZV encephalitis and occurred on the day of hospital presentation. It was the

The second cluster (n=29) included young patients (median age 4 [36–54] 4 years old) quickly admitted for VZV-related pneumonia. All patients presented with typical diffuse vesicular skin rash. Sixteen out of 29 (55.2%)

met the Berlin definition for ARDS. Invasive mechanical ventilation and neuromuscular blockers were used in 44.8% and 41.4%, respectively. Three patients ultimately needed venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. Hospital mortality rate was low at 10.3%.

Cluster 3 (n=56) was characterized by severe encephalitis requiring invasive mechanical ventilation (76.8%). Thirty-five patients in this cluster (62.5%) were immunocompromised, mainly due to haematologic malignancies or autoimmune diseases. Hospital mortality rate was elevated (44.6%).

Cluster 4 (n=8) was the more severe phenotype with a 75% hospital mortality rate. All members of this cluster had onset of VZV disease during their ICU stay. There was no specific organ injury, and half of them were considered immunocompromised at admission. Other *Herpesviridae* were isolated from laboratory samples for 37.5% of them and all patients but one were placed under mechanical ventilation.

Cluster 5 (n=12) consisted of very severe encephalitis (as assessed by higher severity scores on admission (SAPS II and SOFA) comparing to the other phenotypes, and a hospital mortality rate of 66.7%) occurring in deeply immunocompromised hosts. All patients in this cluster were solid organ transplant recipients and were treated with immunosuppressive drugs. A typical vesicular skin rash was present in only 58.3% of patients and ICU admission occurred after prolonged hospitalization (22 [3–23] days). Most patients presented first symptoms while they were already hospitalized in conventional wards. Eight out of 12 patients (66.7%) experienced at least one episode of ICU-acquired infection.

A sensitivity analysis was performed after exclusion of diagnosis relying on clinical examination only (23 patients without molecular detection of VZV, despite all presenting with typical diffuse vesicular skin rash making the diagnosis rather undoubtful). In these conditions, the sensitivity analysis led, however, to the same results (Additional file 2: Table 3 and Additional file 2: Fig. 3).

Discussion

The VAZOREA study is the largest cohort focusing on overall events related to varicella-zoster virus and requiring ICU admission, with the aim of providing data on clinical and biological presentation, in-ICU management, and hospital prognosis. Our clustering analysis provided five objectively diverse phenotypes of VZV disease, mainly defined by their host profile and with highly different hospital mortality. By multivariable analysis, independent factors associated with hospital mortality were VZV disease occurring in ICU, an immunocompromised status and alcohol abuse.

As expected, organ involvements were dominated by encephalitis and pneumonia which are two well-recognized severe complications of VZV infection [1, 6, 39]. Our unsupervised clustering analysis provided five phenotypes with very different organ injury and prognosis. Patients with VZV-related pneumonia and no encephalitis (cluster 2) were young. All presented with typical diffuse vesicular skin rash, and ICU-mortality was low. Overall, characteristics of this cluster were similar to those of another retrospective cohort study on VZV-related pneumonia, based on 102 patients from 29 French ICUs [22].

As acute viral encephalitis often leads to ICU admission for monitoring and treatment [40], an epidemiological study in the ICU setting may overestimate the real incidence of neurological complications but may provide valuable information on at-risk patients. Clustering analysis revealed three different phenotypes of encephalitis. The first one (cluster 1) occurred in younger and less immunocompromised patients, without any comorbidities. No patient but one needed organ support in cluster 1, while in cluster 3 and 5, nearly half of patients required norepinephrine (50% and 41.7% respectively), and three quarters were intubated. Consequently, ICU and hospital mortality rates were far higher in clusters 3 and 5 than in cluster 1. As previously published in a cohort of 55 patients with VZV encephalitis in ICU [27], the typical vesicular skin rash can be missing, especially in the most severe form of disease. Such an atypical presentation may cause a time delay in disease recognition and initiation of antiviral therapy. In our cohort, skin rash was absent in nearly half of the patients from cluster 5. These patients also had a longer time to ICU admission and first antiviral infusion. In a recent international cohort study of all-causes encephalitis in ICU (EURECA), a delay in acyclovir initiation was independently associated with worse outcome [41]. This raises the question of whether high-risk patients, and in particular solid organ transplant recipients, might benefit from prophylactic antiviral treatment, which is not currently recommended (except for allogeneic stem cell transplant recipients [42]). Similarly, although literature data on the recombinant herpes zoster vaccine are scarce in solid organ transplant recipients, the safety and immunogenicity of this vaccine have now been demonstrated [43, 44], so this could be a game-changer in the future.

Overall, 51.3% of patients were immunocompromised. This result is in line with a Danish cohort of hospitalized patients with VZV encephalitis [25] and a large cohort of patients with herpes simplex virus (HSV) encephalitis admitted in ICU [45]. In the present study, CSF leucocyte count was lower in non-survivors in univariable analysis, and might be a surrogate marker for immunosuppression, which was identified as a main factor independently associated with poor outcome. This finding on immunosuppression is consistent with the EURECA study [41], but was not found in another retrospective study focusing on VZV encephalitis [27], possibly due to selection bias. Even when narrowing on encephalitis our immunosuppression rate is 59%, which is far less than the 78% of immunocompromised

patients reported by Mirouse & al. [27], despite similar definitions. In this study, 18 centres were involved but some were highly specialized in immunocompromised patients and might have led to an overrepresentation of immunosuppression among VZV encephalitis. Our cohort study involved 26 centres, academic as well as non-academic, with no centre highly specialized in the management of immunocompromised patients. Thus, our study design and our immunosuppression rate similar to that of a nationwide cohort study [25] may demonstrate that our study is more representative of a general ICU population. The unsupervised clustering analysis also supports the fact that hospital mortality is mainly driven by immunosuppression status rather than by any organ involvement. Clusters 3 to 5, the most associated with poor prognosis, are mainly characterized by profound immunosuppression responsible for severe VZV disease. Cluster 5 was characterized by deeply immunocompromised patients treated with systemic corticosteroids or immunosuppressive drugs. These therapies impair T-cell mediated immunity, which has proven critical against VZV [1, 3]. Noteworthy, occurrence of VZV disease during ICU stay was associated with high mortality and may be related – at least in part – to post-aggressive immune dysfunction, an emerging concept in ICU patients [46–49].

During VZV encephalitis, use of systemic corticosteroids is still a matter of debate [38, 50]. Adjunctive corticosteroids may be an effective therapy against VZV-associated vasculitis [3]. However, in our study as well as in two others use of systemic corticosteroids was not associated with improved outcome [25, 27]. An ongoing randomized controlled trial evaluating adjunctive dexamethasone in HSV encephalitis will provide more information on the potential positive or detrimental effects of corticosteroids in viral encephalitis (NCT03084783).

Interestingly, our study found alcohol consumption, even moderate, as an independent factor associated with mortality. In the past few years, several prospective cohort studies tended to demonstrate that moderate alcohol consumption was associated with poorer outcome, in ICU but also up to one year after ICU admission [51, 52].

Our study has several strengths. First, to our knowledge, this is the largest cohort study on critically ill patients with VZV-associated disease. The number of centres involved in the study and their diversity in size and academics provide data on real-life practices and add relevant data on the understanding of VZV-associated disease. Second, in contrast with the existing literature [22, 25, 27] this study was not restricted to any specific organ involvement and allowed us to depict the global picture of VZV disease in ICU. Thanks to wide eligibility criteria we were able to carry out a clustering analysis without any prior cognitive bias, thus allowing us to identify the objectively diverse phenotypes of VZV disease in ICU patients, which are more accurately defined by their host profile than their specific organ

involvement. This may help clinicians recognize high-risk patients and assess prognosis.

This study also has several limitations. As in all observational studies, heterogeneity of patient care between centres could have affected the results on prognosis. Despite their growing interest in sepsis and septic shock, long-term prognosis and health-related quality of life were not assessed in the present study. Considering literature data on sepsis [53-57], survivors of a severe VZV-related event may suffer from long-term impairment of their respiratory and cognitive functions, thus resulting in disability. In their study on VZV encephalitis, Mirouse et al. found that only 36% of patients had favourable neurologic outcome (modified Rankin scale 0-2) one year after ICU admission. In another French retrospective cohort on neurologic VZV infection, patients with encephalitis had even a poorer prognosis with 82% of unfavourable outcome (defined as death or any persistent symptom or sequelae) [58]. All cases were reviewed by investigators, but definitions of specific organ injury were mainly based on medical charts and clinical judgement, in a "real-life" setting. This retrospective design cannot rule out misclassification. However, all cases included without VZV isolation in laboratory samples (virologic tests not performed) were characterized by diffuse vesicular skin rash typical of VZV disease. Moreover, sensitivity analysis performed after exclusion of patients without virologic evidence of VZV led to the same results. There are currently no consensus criteria for definite VZV-related pneumonia and isolation of VZV DNA in respiratory samples does not mean VZV disease [48, 59]. In a recently published retrospective monocentric study in ICU, Guiraud et al. found that VZV isolation in bronchoalveolar lavage was not associated with respiratory failure but with shingles occurrence [59]. As systematically performed biopsies to demonstrate cytopathic effect are not feasible, studies aiming to find criteria for VZV-related pneumonia based on a combination of clinical and laboratory criteria would be of great interest.

In summary, we here report the largest study on critically ill patients with VZV-related events. Our unsupervised clustering analysis revealed five distinct groups of patients, with highly different hospital mortality rates. Together with the independent predictors of poor outcome identified, these results are critical to help the clinicians recognize high-risk patients and assess prognosis.

Abbreviations

ARDS	acute respiratory distress syndrome
CSF	cerebrospinal fluid
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
HCPC	hierarchical clustering on principal components
HIV	human immunodeficiency virus
ICU	intensive care unit
IQR	interquartile range
MCA	multiple component analysis
RRT	renal replacement therapy
SAPS	simplified acute physiology score
SOFA	sequential organ failure assessment

STROBE	Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in
	Epidemiology
VZV	Varicella-zoster virus

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13613-024-01270-w.

Supplementary Material 1

Supplementary Material 2

Acknowledgements

The authors thanks Romain Simon for the careful proofreading.

Author contributions

JM and DDC designed the study, gathered the data, performed statistical analyses, drafted the manuscript and took the decision to submit for publication. All authors extracted the data, revised the manuscript for critical intellectual content, and approved the final version of the manuscript. JM and DDC had full access to the data and vouch for accuracy of statistical analysis.

Funding

Not applicable.

Data availability

All data and code are available after request to JM and DDC.

Declarations

Ethical approval

This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval was granted by the ethics committee of the French Intensive Care Society (#CE-SRLF 20–38).

Consent to participate

In accordance with French law on retrospective anonymized data, a waiver for informed consent was obtained, and collection of patient data in a database and their analysis was authorized by the French data protection agency (#920460).

Competing interests

The present authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author details

¹Normandie Univ, UNICAEN, CHU de Caen Normandie, Médecine Intensive – Réanimation, Caen 14000, France

²Service de Médecine Intensive – Réanimation, CHU Bordeaux site Pellegrin, Bordeaux, France

³Médecine Intensive – Réanimation, CH La Roche Sur Yon, La Roche Sur Yon, France

⁴Service de Médecine Intensive – Réanimation, CHU de Rennes, Rennes 35000, France

⁵Service de Médecine Intensive – Réanimation, Hôpital Edouard Herriot, Hospices civils de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Faculté de Médecine Lyon-Est, Lyon, France

⁶Service de Médecine Intensive – Réanimation, Université Côte d'Azur (UCA), CHU de Nice, 151 route Saint Antoine de Ginestière, Nice 06200, France

⁷Médecine Intensive – Réanimation, Hôpital de Hautepierre, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg et Unistra, Strasbourg, France

⁸Service de Médecine Intensive – Réanimation, Centre-Université Paris Cité, Hôpital Cochin, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris 75014, France

⁹Médecine Intensive – Réanimation, CHU Lyon Sud, Pierre Benite, France ¹⁰RESHAPE Research on healthcare performance, U1290, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Lyon, France

¹¹Médecine Intensive – Réanimation, CHR Orléans, Orléans, France

¹²Service de Réanimation, CH Argenteuil, Argenteuil, France

¹³Department of Intensive Care, Burgundy University Hospital, Dijon, France

¹⁴Service de Médecine Intensive – Réanimation, AP-HP Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpitaux universitaires Henri Mondor, DMU Médecine, Créteil 94010, France

¹⁵UPEC Université Paris-Est Créteil, INSERM, Unité U955, Equipe 18, Créteil 94010, France

¹⁶Service de réanimation polyvalente, CHBA Vannes, Vannes, France
¹⁷Service de réanimation polyvalente, Centre hospitalier de Tourcoing, Tourcoing 59200, France

¹⁸Service de Médecine Intensive – Réanimation, Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Assistance Publique- Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris 75012, France

¹⁹Réanimation Polyvalente et USC, CH Angoulême, Angoulême Cedex 9, Angoulême 19959, France

²⁰Service Réanimation/USC, Centre Hospitalier du Mans, Le Mans 72037. France

²¹Médecine Intensive – Réanimation, CHRU de Nancy, Nancy, France ²²Université de Poitiers, CHU de Poitiers, Médecine intensive Réanimation, 2 rue de la miletrie, Poitiers 86000, France

²³Service Médecine Intensive – Réanimation/USC, Centre hospitalier de Saint-Nazaire, Saint-Nazaire 44600, France

²⁴Service de Réanimation polyvalente, Centre Hospitalier Public du Cotentin, Cherbourg en Cotentin 50100, France

²⁵Centre Hospitalier Saint-Louis, Réanimation polyvalente,

La Rochelle 17019, France

²⁶Hôpital Universitaire Félix Guyon, Réanimation polyvalente, Allée des Topazes, Saint-Denis, La Réunion 97400, France

²⁷Service de Médecine Intensive et Réanimation, CHU de Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont- Ferrand, France

²⁸Service de réanimation médicale, CHRU de Lille, Lille, France

Received: 12 December 2023 / Accepted: 23 February 2024 Published online: 29 March 2024

References

- 1. Heininger U, Seward JF, Varicella. Lancet. 2006;368:1365–76.
- 2. Gnann JW, Whitley RJ. Herpes zoster. N Engl J Med. 2002;347:340-6.
- Gershon AA, Breuer J, Cohen JI, Cohrs RJ, Gershon MD, Gilden D et al. Varicella zoster virus infection. Nature Reviews Disease Primers [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2020 Jan 15];1. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/nrdp201516.
- Heininger U, Braun-Fahrländer C, Desgrandchamps D, Glaus J, Grize L, Wutzler P et al. Seroprevalence of varicella-zoster virus immunoglobulin G antibodies in Swiss adolescents and risk factor analysis for seronegativity: the Pediatric Infectious. Disease J. 2001;775–8.
- Wiese-Posselt M, Siedler A, Mankertz A, Sauerbrei A, Hengel H, Wichmann O, et al. Varicella-Zoster virus seroprevalence in children and adolescents in the pre-varicella vaccine era, Germany. BMC Infect Dis. 2017;17:356.
- Cohen JI. Herpes Zoster. Solomon CG, editor. New England Journal of Medicine. 2013;369:255–63.
- Vigneron C, Charpentier J, Wislez M, Mira J-P, Lefebvre A, Fournel L, et al. Short-term and long-term outcomes of patients with Lung Cancer and Lifethreatening complications. Chest. 2021;160:1560–4.
- Sehn LH, Salles G. Diffuse large B-Cell lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 2021;384:842–58.
- Rossi D, Bertoni F, Zucca E. Marginal-Zone Lymphomas. N Engl J Med. 2022;386:568–81.
- Locke FL, Miklos DB, Jacobson CA, Perales M-A, Kersten M-J, Oluwole OO, et al. Axicabtagene Ciloleucel as Second-Line therapy for large B-Cell lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 2022;386:640–54.
- Lemiale V, Pons S, Mirouse A, Tudesq J-J, Hourmant Y, Mokart D, et al. Sepsis and septic shock in patients with malignancies: a Groupe De Recherche Respiratoire en Réanimation Onco-Hématologique Study. Crit Care Med. 2020;48:822–9.
- Guillevin L, Pagnoux C, Karras A, Khouatra C, Aumaître O, Cohen P, et al. Rituximab versus Azathioprine for maintenance in ANCA-Associated Vasculitis. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:1771–80.
- Mullooly JP, Riedlinger K, Chun C, Weinmann S, Houston H. Incidence of herpes zoster, 1997–2002. Epidemiol Infect. 2005;133:245–53.
- Yoshikawa TT, Schmader K. Herpes zoster in older adults. Clin Infect Dis. 2001;32:1481–6.

- Rimland D, Moanna A. Increasing incidence of herpes zoster among veterans. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;50:1000–5.
- Strangfeld A. Risk of herpes zoster in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with Anti–TNF-α agents. JAMA. 2009;301:737.
- 17. Trousseau A. Clinique médicale De l'Hôtel-Dieu de Paris. Paris: Hachette BNF; 1868.
- Rawson H, Crampin A, Noah N. Deaths from chickenpox in England and Wales 1995-7: analysis of routine mortality data. BMJ. 2001;323:1091–3.
- Weber DM. Varicella Pneumonia: study of Prevalence in Adult men. JAMA. 1965;192:572.
- Reid G, Lynch J, Weigt S, Sayah D, Belperio J, Grim S, et al. Herpesvirus Respiratory infections in Immunocompromised patients: Epidemiology, Management, and outcomes. Semin Respir Crit Care Med. 2016;37:603–30.
- Stollenwerk N, Harper RW, Sandrock CE. Bench-to-bedside review: rare and common viral infections in the intensive care unit – linking pathophysiology to clinical presentation. Crit Care. 2008;12:219.
- 22. Mirouse A, Vignon P, Piron P, Robert R, Papazian L, Géri G et al. Severe varicella-zoster virus pneumonia: a multicenter cohort study. Critical Care [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Jan 10];21. Available from: http://ccforum. biomedcentral.com/articles/https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-017-1731-0.
- 23. Kramer AH. Viral encephalitis in the ICU. Crit Care Clin. 2013;29:621–49.
- Mailles A, Argemi X, Biron C, Fillatre P, De Broucker T, Buzelé R, et al. Changing profile of encephalitis: results of a 4-year study in France. Infect Dis Now. 2022;52:1–6.
- 25. Herlin LK, Hansen KS, Bodilsen J, Larsen L, Brandt C, Andersen CØ, et al. Varicella Zoster Virus Encephalitis in Denmark from 2015 to 2019-A nationwide prospective cohort study. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;72:1192–9.
- 26. Buchan SA, Daneman N, Wang J, Garber G, Wormsbecker AE, Wilson SE, et al. Incidence of hospitalizations and Emergency Department Visits for Herpes Zoster in immunocompromised and immunocompetent adults in Ontario, Canada, 2002–2016. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71:22–9.
- Mirouse A, Sonneville R, Razazi K, Merceron S, Argaud L, Bigé N, et al. Neurologic outcome of VZV encephalitis one year after ICU admission: a multicenter cohort study. Ann Intensive Care. 2022;12:32.
- 28. Proceedings of Reanimation, the French Intensive Care Society International Congress. 2023, Ann Intensive Care. 2023;13:50.
- Vincent JL, Moreno R, Takala J, Willatts S, De Mendonça A, Bruining H, et al. The SOFA (Sepsis-related Organ failure Assessment) score to describe organ dysfunction/failure. On behalf of the Working Group on Sepsis-related problems of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. Intensive Care Med. 1996;22:707–10.
- Le Gall JR, Lemeshow S, Saulnier F. A new simplified Acute Physiology score (SAPS II) based on a European/North American multicenter study. JAMA. 1993;270:2957–63.
- Société française d'alcoologie. Mésusage de l'alcool: dépistage, diagnostic et traitement. Recommandation de bonne pratique. [Internet]. [cited 2024 Feb 6]. Available from: https://www.alcoologie-et-addictologie.fr/index.php/aa/ article/view/570.
- ARDS Definition Task Force, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, Ferguson ND, Caldwell E, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin definition. JAMA. 2012;307:2526–33.
- Venkatesan A, Tunkel AR, Bloch KC, Lauring AS, Sejvar J, Bitnun A, et al. Case definitions, diagnostic algorithms, and priorities in encephalitis: consensus statement of the international encephalitis consortium. Clin Infect Dis. 2013;57:1114–28.
- Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The Third International Consensus definitions for Sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA. 2016;315:801.
- Kwo PY, Cohen SM, Lim JK. ACG Clinical Guideline: evaluation of abnormal liver chemistries. Am J Gastroenterol. 2017;112:18–35.
- Banks PA, Bollen TL, Dervenis C, Gooszen HG, Johnson CD, Sarr MG, et al. Classification of acute pancreatitis–2012: revision of the Atlanta classification and definitions by international consensus. Gut. 2013;62:102–11.
- 37. Section 2. AKI Definition. Kidney Int Supplements. 2012;2:19-36.
- Stahl JP, Azouvi P, Bruneel F, De Broucker T, Duval X, Fantin B, et al. Guidelines on the management of infectious encephalitis in adults. Med Mal Infect. 2017;47:179–94.
- Lo Presti C, Curti C, Montana M, Bornet C, Vanelle P. Chickenpox: an update. Méd Mal Infect. 2019;49:1–8.

- 40. Tyler KL. Acute viral encephalitis. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:557-66.
- 41. Sonneville R, de Montmollin E, Contou D, Ferrer R, Gurjar M, Klouche K et al. Clinical features, etiologies, and outcomes in adult patients with meningoencephalitis requiring intensive care (EURECA): an international prospective multicenter cohort study. Intensive Care Med. 2023.
- Styczynski J, Reusser P, Einsele H, de la Camara R, Cordonnier C, Ward KN et al. Management of HSV, VZV and EBV infections in patients with hematological malignancies and after SCT: guidelines from the Second European Conference on Infections in Leukemia. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2009;43:757–70.
- 43. Vink P, Ramon Torrell JM, Sanchez Fructuoso A, Kim S-J, Kim S-I, Zaltzman J, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of the Adjuvanted recombinant zoster vaccine in chronically immunosuppressed adults following renal transplant: a phase 3, Randomized Clinical Trial. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;70:181–90.
- L'Huillier AG, Hirzel C, Ferreira VH, Ierullo M, Ku T, Selzner N, et al. Evaluation of recombinant herpes zoster vaccine for primary immunization of Varicellaseronegative transplant recipients. Transplantation. 2021;105:2316–23.
- 45. Jaquet P, de Montmollin E, Dupuis C, Sazio C, Conrad M, Susset V, et al. Functional outcomes in adult patients with herpes simplex encephalitis admitted to the ICU: a multicenter cohort study. Intensive Care Med. 2019;45:1103–11.
- Boomer JS, To K, Chang KC, Takasu O, Osborne DF, Walton AH, et al. Immunosuppression in patients who die of sepsis and multiple organ failure. JAMA. 2011;306:2594–605.
- Hotchkiss RS, Monneret G, Payen D. Sepsis-induced immunosuppression: from cellular dysfunctions to immunotherapy. Nat Rev Immunol. 2013;13:862–74.
- Ong DSY, Bonten MJM, Spitoni C, Verduyn Lunel FM, Frencken JF, Horn J, et al. Epidemiology of multiple herpes viremia in previously immunocompetent patients with septic shock. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;64:1204–10.
- Limaye AP, Kirby KA, Rubenfeld GD, Leisenring WM, Bulger EM, Neff MJ, et al. Cytomegalovirus reactivation in critically ill immunocompetent patients. JAMA. 2008;300:413–22.
- Solomon T, Michael BD, Smith PE, Sanderson F, Davies NWS, Hart IJ, et al. Management of suspected viral encephalitis in adults–association of British neurologists and British Infection Association National Guidelines. J Infect. 2012;64:347–73.
- Gacouin A, Tadie JM, Uhel F, Sauvadet E, Fillâtre P, Letheulle J, et al. At-risk drinking is independently associated with ICU and one-year mortality in critically ill nontrauma patients*. Crit Care Med. 2014;42:860–7.
- Gacouin A, Painvin B, Coirier V, Quelven Q, Delange B, Joussellin V, et al. Impact on ICU mortality of moderate alcohol consumption in patients admitted with infection. J Crit Care. 2020;57:91–6.
- Prescott HC, Langa KM, Liu V, Escobar GJ, Iwashyna TJ. Increased 1-year healthcare use in survivors of severe sepsis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2014;190:62–9.
- Iwashyna TJ, Ely EW, Smith DM, Langa KM. Long-term cognitive impairment and functional disability among survivors of severe sepsis. JAMA. 2010;304:1787–94.
- Hammond NE, Finfer SR, Li Q, Taylor C, Cohen J, Arabi Y, et al. Health-related quality of life in survivors of septic shock: 6-month follow-up from the ADRE-NAL trial. Intensive Care Med. 2020;46:1696–706.
- Angriman F, Rosella LC, Lawler PR, Ko DT, Wunsch H, Scales DC. Sepsis hospitalization and risk of subsequent cardiovascular events in adults: a population-based matched cohort study. Intensive Care Med. 2022;48:448–57.
- 57. Prescott HC, Angus DC. Enhancing recovery from Sepsis: a review. JAMA. 2018;319:62.
- Lenfant T, L'Honneur A-S, Ranque B, Pilmis B, Charlier C, Zuber M, et al. Neurological complications of varicella zoster virus reactivation: prognosis, diagnosis, and treatment of 72 patients with positive PCR in the cerebrospinal fluid. Brain Behav. 2022;12:e2455.
- Guiraud V, Burrel S, Luyt C-E, Boutolleau D. Prevalence and clinical relevance of VZV lung detection in intensive care unit: a retrospective cohort study. J Clin Virol. 2023;164:105470.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.