REVIEW Open Access

Organ crosstalk and dysfunction in sepsis

André Borges^{1,2*†} and Luís Bento^{1,2†}

Abstract

Sepsis is a dysregulated immune response to an infection that leads to organ dysfunction. Sepsis-associated organ dysfunction involves multiple inflammatory mechanisms and complex metabolic reprogramming of cellular function. These mechanisms cooperate through multiple organs and systems according to a complex set of long-distance communications mediated by cellular pathways, solutes, and neurohormonal actions. In sepsis, the concept of organ crosstalk involves the dysregulation of one system, which triggers compensatory mechanisms in other systems that can induce further damage. Despite the abundance of studies published on organ crosstalk in the last decade, there is a need to formulate a more comprehensive framework involving all organs to create a more detailed picture of sepsis. In this paper, we review the literature published on organ crosstalk in the last 10 years and explore how these relationships affect the progression of organ failure in patients with septic shock. We explored these relationships in terms of the heart–kidney–lung, gut-microbiome–liver–brain, and adipose tissue–muscle–bone crosstalk in sepsis patients. A deep connection exists among these organs based on crosstalk. We also review how multiple therapeutic interventions administered in intensive care units, such as mechanical ventilation, antibiotics, anesthesia, nutrition, and proton pump inhibitors, affect these systems and must be carefully considered when managing septic patients. The progression to multiple organ dysfunction syndrome in sepsis patients is still one of the most frequent causes of death in critically ill patients. A better understanding and monitoring of the mechanics of organ crosstalk will enable the anticipation of organ damage and the development of individualized therapeutic strategies.

Keywords Organ crosstalk, Sepsis, Organ failure, Multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS)

Background

What is life? We can characterize life as any entity with the capacity for organization, metabolism, growth, reproduction, environmental adaptation, and response to stimuli [[1\]](#page-8-4). The ability of a biological system to maintain internal balance in the face of external variations is defined as homeostasis. In complex organisms,

† André Borges and Luís Bento contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence:

andreborges83@yahoo.com

¹Intensive Care Unit of Hospital de São José, Unidade de Urgência Médica, Rua José António Serrano, Lisbon 1150-199, Portugal 2 NOVA Medical School, Campo dos Mártires da Pátria 130,

Lisbon 1169-056, Portugal

maintaining homeostasis requires regulating and synchronizing multiple functions of different organs and systems through interorgan communication. Organ crosstalk is the intricate network of long-distance communication between different organs, facilitated by cellular pathways, solutes, neurohormonal actions, and extracellular vesicles (EVs) [[2–](#page-8-0)[4\]](#page-8-1).

Sepsis is an uncontrolled immune response to an infection that causes organ dysfunction. Septic shock is a severe form of sepsis with significant circulatory, cellular, and metabolic dysfunction [[5\]](#page-8-2). It is a common condition in intensive care units (ICUs) in which organ failure may progress to multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS) and death $[6]$ $[6]$. One study reported that the occurrence rates of sepsis in the ICU ranged from 13.6

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

André Borges

to 39.3%, with ICU and hospital mortality rates of 25.8% and 35.3%, respectively [\[7](#page-8-5)].

Sepsis-associated organ dysfunction involves disruption of organ crosstalk, but the mechanisms underlying this process have not yet been fully elucidated [\[8](#page-8-6)]. Formulating a comprehensive framework involving the simultaneous communication mechanisms between all organs is necessary to create a more precise model for predicting organ dysfunction in sepsis patients.

Literature review of organ crosstalk in sepsis

We carried out a narrative review for articles in PubMed published between 2012 and 2023 with the keywords "organ crosstalk", "interorgan communication", "sepsis", "shock" and "organ failure". This time frame was chosen because many publications in this area have been published more recently. Original and review articles were included. One major limitation of this review is bias in selecting articles, which may result in the exclusion of significant data.

The selected articles revealed significant work on the brain, respiratory, cardiovascular, renal, hepatic, and hematological systems. There has also been a substantial number of publications on other regulatory systems, particularly the gut-microbiome and adipose–muscle–bone systems, that play crucial roles in regulating metabolism and the inflammatory response to injury.

All systems in the body are deeply integrated, and any classification system is artificial and incompletely describes the full complexity of organ crosstalk. We first reviewed the inflammatory response and metabolic reprogramming in sepsis to facilitate the exposition of concepts. We then explored the impact of organ crosstalk in the following systems: heart-lung-kidney, gut-microbiome-liver-brain, and adipose-muscle-bone. We have categorized these sections based on our experience to enhance the coherence and flow of this review.

The inflammatory response and metabolic reprograming in sepsis

The inflammatory response in sepsis begins with an injury or insult (Fig. 1) that, through multiple mechanisms, promotes the activation of inflammasomes in the innate immune system [\[9](#page-8-7)]. This results in a robust proinflammatory response, with the release of interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), and interferon-gamma (IFN‐γ) and activation of the coagulation system [\[10](#page-8-8)[–13\]](#page-8-9).

This immune system activation requires metabolic reprogramming with a shift in metabolism from oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) toward a glycolytic phenotype as the main energy-producing pathway $[14]$. This results in reduced energy usage that may impact organ cell function, potentially contributing to organ failure and disrupting organ crosstalk [[14\]](#page-8-10). Switching from glycolysis to OXPHOS is essential to restore normal organ function [\[15\]](#page-8-11).

Adaptive immunity and counterregulatory mechanisms control the intensity and duration of the inflammatory response [[16](#page-8-12), [17\]](#page-8-13). Cortisol participates in regulating the

Fig. 1 Inflammatory response and metabolic reprogramming in sepsis

balance between hyperinflammation and immunosuppression [[18\]](#page-8-14), and patients with inadequate cellular corticosteroid activity due to the severity of the illness develop critical illness-related corticosteroid insufficiency (CIRCI) [[19\]](#page-8-15). In a meta-analysis, it was suggested that corticosteroids may lower mortality rates in sepsis and septic shock [[20\]](#page-8-16).

If not regulated, the early hyperinflammatory phase may progress to an overwhelming inflammatory response characterized by refractory shock, MODS, and death [[17\]](#page-8-13). Conversely, patients who survive the early hyperinflammatory phase may progress to a phenotype termed persistent inflammation, immunosuppression, and catabolism syndrome (PICS) [\[21](#page-8-17)]. This biphasic view oversimplifies a dynamic process that balances the extremes of hyperinflammation and immunosuppression [\[22](#page-8-18)]. The regulation of this delicate balance of the inflammatory response is thought to be strongly influenced by organ crosstalk.

Techniques such as high-volume hemofiltration, plasma adsorption, and hemoadsorption have been designed to target circulating inflammatory molecules in patients with sepsis and multiple organ dysfunction [\[23](#page-8-19)]. However, there is a lack of knowledge concerning the interaction between organ crosstalk and artificial organ support systems [\[23](#page-8-19)].

In conclusion, sepsis-induced injury triggers a profound inflammatory response by recruiting different systems to counter infection. This response may come at the cost of injury to healthy tissues, which can ultimately compromise organ function. Several regulatory loops regulate the intensity and duration of the hyperinflammatory response so that organs can restore homeostasis. This balance may not be achieved in sepsis with sequential multiorgan failure. Injury to one organ may cause secondary damage or dysfunction in other organs by activating a vicious cycle and worsening MODS [\[24](#page-8-20)]. In the following sections, we explore how organ crosstalk is affected in sepsis.

Heart–lung–kidney crosstalk in sepsis *The cardiovascular system*

The cardiovascular, respiratory, and renal systems are closely connected and mutually dependent (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)) [[25](#page-8-21), [26\]](#page-8-22). Management of cardiovascular system dysfunction commonly focuses on regulating blood pressure and ensuring proper organ perfusion. While these are a core focus of intervention in ICU, inter-organ communication mechanisms also impact the response to sepsis.

Fig. 2 Heart-lung-kidney crosstalk in sepsis

The early hyperinflammatory response affects the endothelial production of nitric oxide, prostacyclin, and inflammatory cytokines [\[27\]](#page-8-23). Refractory hypotension follows and is a hallmark of septic shock. Several neurohormonal mechanisms, such as the renin-angiotensinaldosterone system (RAAS) and ß-adrenergic nervous system, become activated to maintain cardiac output in decompensated cardiovascular function [\[28](#page-8-24)]. A growing body of evidence indicates that the heart also acts as a sophisticated paracrine and endocrine organ, synthesizing and secreting proteins called cardiokines, which are involved in intercellular and interorgan communication [[29–](#page-9-0)[31](#page-9-1)]. More than 16 cardiokines have been identified, including atrial natriuretic factor (ANF), brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), transforming growth factor beta-1 (TGFβ1), angiotensin II, and proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 [[32\]](#page-9-2) which is associated with a decrease in heart rate variability, a hallmark of autonomic dysfunction during sepsis [[33](#page-9-3)]. Cardiokines may significantly regulate communication between the cardiovascular system and other organs during sepsis.

The kidney

The establishment of a proinflammatory milieu in sepsis induces acute kidney injury (AKI) [[34\]](#page-9-4). AKI is associated with modulation of the functioning of other vital organs via organ crosstalk [$35-42$ $35-42$]. The upregulation of TNF- α and IL-6, along with the increase in uremic molecules such as indoxyl sulfate, is important for mediating the effects of AKI on distant organs [[37\]](#page-9-7). This can affect the heart, lungs, central nervous system, hematologic system, liver, gut, and microbiome [\[43](#page-9-8)].

AKI is associated with impaired lung function [\[25](#page-8-21), [35](#page-9-5), [44\]](#page-9-9). On the other hand, the development of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in sepsis may induce AKI [[44\]](#page-9-9). Biotrauma linked to mechanical ventilation can also result in AKI [\[45\]](#page-9-10).

In sepsis, the heart and kidney are commonly injured and affect each other through several mechanisms, including organ crosstalk, as exemplified by the cardiorenal syndrome (CRS) type 5 [\[25](#page-8-21), [46\]](#page-9-11). AKI-induced volume overload, uremic toxin retention, and RAAS overactivation accelerate heart failure [[47](#page-9-12)]. The accumulation of uremic toxins, metabolic acidosis, and electrolyte imbalances leads to cardiovascular toxicity and can increase the risk of myocardial ischemia and life-threatening arrhythmias [[39\]](#page-9-13).

Renal replacement therapy (RRT) is frequently utilized to provide essential renal support in treating septic patients in intensive care $[48]$ $[48]$. RRT effectively aids in controlling blood volume and reducing the concentration of uremic toxins, eventually helping to balance disturbed organ crosstalk, but more studies are needed about the interaction of these techniques and organ crosstalk current.

The lung

The development of ARDS, a syndrome of acute respiratory failure due to diffuse lung inflammation and edema not fully explained by cardiac failure or fluid overload [[49\]](#page-9-15), is common in sepsis, either as the result of infection or systemic inflammation. The inflammatory cascade initiated in the lungs propagates into circulation and can reach distal organs, thus playing a pivotal role in developing MODS [\[4](#page-8-1), [49,](#page-9-15) [50](#page-9-16)].

The central nervous system may also regulate the inflammatory response in the lungs [[51](#page-9-17), [52](#page-9-18)]. The vagus nerve is involved in the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway (CAP) in the brain–lung axis, in which acetylcholine (ACh) is released and acts on ACh receptors (α7nAChR) on immune cells and pulmonary neuroendocrine cells (PNECs). Proper activation promotes the regression of inflammation, but overreaction may aggravate infection and even promote the occurrence of lung disease [[52](#page-9-18)]. There is some preliminary experimental evidence of CAP in humans. However, this literature has not been well integrated and critically evaluated [\[53](#page-9-19)].

Protective mechanical ventilation may be crucial for minimizing lung injury and secondary brain injury [\[51](#page-9-17)]. Several sedatives and analgesics may modulate the lung inflammatory response. Morphine inhibits the release of interleukin-17 (IL-17) in the respiratory epithelium, leading to delayed pathogen clearance and sustained inflammation [\[54\]](#page-9-20). Dexmedetomidine reduces the inflammatory response to injurious mechanical ventilation by mitigating α 2-adrenoceptor activation [[55\]](#page-9-21). Propofol is also known to have neuroimmunomodulatory effects [[51](#page-9-17)].

Extracorporeal techniques such as veno-venous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VV-ECMO) are commonly used to provide respiratory support for patients with severe lung disease due to sepsis. Patients on VV-ECMO are at risk for AKI [[56\]](#page-9-22), but the impact of these techniques on organ crosstalk is largely unknown.

In conclusion, a pro-inflammatory environment in sepsis triggers a cascade of responses that impact cardiovascular, kidney, and lung functions. The communication between these systems goes beyond organ perfusion, acid-base regulation, and gas exchange. It also involves various metabolites, such as cardiokines, inflammatory mediators, and uremic metabolites like indoxyl sulfate, which can act as carriers of information between organs. The dysregulation of this delicate system disrupts organ function in sepsis and promotes MODS.

Gut–microbiome–liver–brain crosstalk in sepsis *The gut and the microbiome*

The digestive system and the gut microbiome form a crucial symbiotic relationship. The gut microbiota serves vital functions, including metabolizing non-digestible components of food, protecting the host from pathogenic invasion, and modulating the immune system [[57\]](#page-9-23).

In septic patients, the protective mechanisms that maintain the gut barrier may fail due to circulatory hypoperfusion, subsequent ischemia, inflammation injury of the enteric barrier, and neuroendocrine dysregulation (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0) [[58,](#page-9-24) [59](#page-9-25)]. Sepsis-induced cytokines, such as IL-6, TNF-α, and interleukin-1β (IL-1β), can directly affect the gut barrier by affecting intestinal cell proliferation and apoptosis [[60\]](#page-9-26). Sepsis also alters the symbiotic intestinal microenvironment into a dysbiotic medium that promotes epithelial cell hyperpermeability and apoptosis, hyperinflammation, and the dominance of pathogenic bacteria [[61\]](#page-9-27). This leads to enteric barrier dysfunction, which allows toxins and bacteria to cross into the lymphatic system [[60](#page-9-26)]. The result is gastrointestinal failure, which coincides with clinical signs such as oral intolerance, gastrointestinal hemorrhage, or ileus. It is also associated with lipopolysaccharides (LPS) endotoxemia, directly injuring the liver [\[60](#page-9-26)].

The gut microbiome plays a central role in regulating the inflammatory response in sepsis by releasing multiple mediators such as short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs

– such as acetate, propionate, and butyrate), succinate, and serotonin, among many others $[61-63]$ $[61-63]$. In sepsis, the gut microbiome may be compromised, significantly decreasing microbial diversity, especially anaerobic species, resulting in microbial dysbiosis, which can lead to inadequate immune functioning and inflammatory responses, affecting organ crosstalk resulting in other organ dysfunction $[57, 64, 65]$ $[57, 64, 65]$ $[57, 64, 65]$ $[57, 64, 65]$ $[57, 64, 65]$ $[57, 64, 65]$ $[57, 64, 65]$. There is a strong connection between the gut microbiome and liver dysfunction in sepsis [[66](#page-9-31)].

The shift from a healthy microbiome to a pathobiome in septic patients may also be driven by antibiotics and intensive care-specific treatments such as artificial feeding, mechanical ventilation, proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), vasopressors, and opioids [\[59](#page-9-25)]. Most ICU patients receive antibiotics, which deplete commensal gut bacteria, enrich opportunistic pathogens, and disturb the immune response and physiological activity, influencing other organ functions $[61]$ $[61]$ $[61]$. Even with short-term antibiotic administration, gut microbiome perturbation can persist for months $[66]$ $[66]$ $[66]$. The frequent use of PPIs in hospitalized patients is associated with decreased bacterial richness and profound changes in the gut microbiome. One study revealed that 20% of the identified bacteria showed significant deviations, with the abundance of oral bacteria and potential pathogenic bacteria increasing in the gut microbiota of PPI users [[67\]](#page-9-32).

Fig. 3 Gut-microbiome-liver-brain crosstalk in sepsis

The liver

The liver plays a crucial role in metabolism, immunity, digestion, detoxification, and vitamin storage. In sepsis, the liver may be damaged by pathogens, toxins, or inflammatory mediators that induce oxidative stress, damaging hepatocytes and resulting in liver dysfunction with severe disruption of organ crosstalk [\[68\]](#page-9-33). Liver failure in sepsis is clinically characterized by shock, jaundice, coagulopathy, AKI, hypoglycemia, and brain edema [[69\]](#page-9-34).

The liver releases multiple inflammatory factors, such as TNF-α, triggering various local and systemic immune responses [[70\]](#page-9-35). It also produces several endocrine-like hepatokines that play critical roles in regulating extrahepatic metabolism, such as adropin, fibroblast growth factor-21 (FGF-21), hepassocin, leukocyte cell-derived chemotaxin‐2 (LECT2), and selenoprotein P, among others [\[71](#page-9-36)–[77\]](#page-9-37).

The dysregulation of liver immune function and hepatokine secretion profile must deeply affect organ crosstalk in sepsis, leading, among others, to cardiovascular dysfunction, inflammation, and insulin resistance [\[73](#page-9-38)]. These effects may exacerbate subsequent organ injury and lead to progression to MODS in sepsis.

The liver and kidney play crucial roles in maintaining body homeostasis and eliminating metabolic byproducts and drugs, highlighting a deep interconnection between the two organs. Dysfunction of one of these organs in sepsis can promote significant dysfunction in another [[42,](#page-9-6) [78](#page-9-39)[–80\]](#page-9-40).

There are extracorporeal techniques aimed at providing hepatic support in patients with liver failure, such as MARS[®] and Prometheus[®], through the clearance of inflammatory mediators and bilirubin. One study demonstrates that MARS® and Prometheus® could clear cytokines from plasma but did not significantly change serum cytokine levels [\[81](#page-9-41)].

The brain

Sepsis patients commonly develop brain dysfunction [[82,](#page-9-42) [83](#page-9-43)]. Early clinical signs include clumsiness, fatigue, impaired concentration, and apathy, which may later progress to delirium, confusion, and coma.

The gut microbiome and the liver deeply affect brain function in septic patients [\[57,](#page-9-23) [84–](#page-9-44)[89\]](#page-10-0). The gut microbiome's production of SCFAs influences the production of neurotransmitters such as glutamate, glutamine, and γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA), among others [[90](#page-10-1)]. 95% of serotonin is produced from tryptophan produced in the gut by the microbiome $[91, 92]$ $[91, 92]$ $[91, 92]$ $[91, 92]$. Serotonin is an important neurotransmitter that regulates behavior and memory.

Sepsis disrupts microbiota–gut–brain axis homeostasis, thereby causing neurological dysfunction with impairments in memory, concentration, verbal fluency, and executive functioning [[89](#page-10-0)], possibly resulting in sepsis-associated encephalopathy (SAE). Whether systemic infection affects the intestinal microbiota that induces SAE or whether SAE is caused exclusively by a dysregulated host immune response remains unclear [[89\]](#page-10-0). Some of the late features of infection-induced sickness are comparable to the clinical symptoms of depression. Severe sepsis can be associated with brainstem dysfunction, which is clinically characterized by impaired heart rate variability with decreased sympathovagal balance and respiratory rate variability [[83,](#page-9-43) [93\]](#page-10-4). The brain dysfunction in sepsis is also linked to the disruption of the circadian clock, which plays a crucial role in regulating immune functions and inflammatory responses [[94](#page-10-5)].

In conclusion, the gut microbiome plays a central role in regulating the inflammatory response. In sepsis, there is a shift from a healthy microbiome to a pathobiome, which can lead to gastrointestinal failure. This failure can, in turn, induce dysfunction in other organs through organ crosstalk. Multiple therapeutic interventions in the ICU, such as antibiotics, anesthesia, nutrition, and PPIs, affect the gut microbiome. The liver plays a crucial role in maintaining body homeostasis by eliminating metabolic byproducts and regulating immunity, releasing multiple inflammatory factors that broadly participate in organ crosstalk affecting numerous organs. The dysregulation of liver function deeply affects organ crosstalk in sepsis, leading to cardiovascular dysfunction and inflammation, ultimately resulting in MODS. The gut microbiome and the liver have a close, bidirectional interaction with the brain. Sepsis disrupts the homeostasis of the gut-microbiome-liver-brain axis, triggering cognitive impairment and the development of SAE.

Adipose tissue–muscle–bone crosstalk in sepsis *The adipose tissue*

Adipose tissue produces and secretes many mediators, collectively called adipokines [[30,](#page-9-45) [71](#page-9-36), [76](#page-9-46), [77,](#page-9-37) [95](#page-10-6)[–101](#page-10-7)]. Adipokines modulate the metabolism of distant organs and tissues such as the liver, pancreas, bone, muscle, and heart. The most well-known adipokines are leptin, adiponectin, resistin, and TNF- α [[102\]](#page-10-8). Leptin acts as a proinflammatory cytokine [[102](#page-10-8)]. Leptin deficiency and leptin resistance induce alterations in cytokine production and increase susceptibility to infectious diseases [[103](#page-10-9)].

Brown adipose tissue (BAT) is responsible for heat production but also secretes molecules called batokines that mediate the general metabolic activity of the liver, heart, muscle and immune functions [\[104](#page-10-10)]. Batokines include FGF-21, IL-6, and exosomal microRNAs (miR-99b) [\[105](#page-10-11)]. How these adipokines and batokines participate in the inflammatory response in sepsis remains unclear.

The bone and the muscle

Both bone and muscle regulate the utilization, distribution, and delivery of nutrients and other substrates [[106\]](#page-10-12). Bone provides the most significant storage site for calcium and phosphate and promotes the production of mesenchymal stem cells and hematopoiesis. Osteokines derived from bone cells, such as osteocalcin and sclerostin, induce muscle anabolism and catabolism $[98, 98]$ $[98, 98]$ [107](#page-10-14)[–110](#page-10-15)]. There is a strong connection between other regulatory systems and bone metabolism, such as vitamin D metabolism, which involves kidney–liver crosstalk [[111\]](#page-10-16).

Muscle is the largest depot for glucose disposal and the storage of amino acids. Myokines derived from myocytes include IL-6, irisin, myostatin, and FGF-21 [\[77](#page-9-37), [97](#page-10-17), [98,](#page-10-13) [106](#page-10-12), [112](#page-10-18)[–116\]](#page-10-19). Muscle disuse and atrophy result in osteoporosis, a process that also involves IL-6 [\[117\]](#page-10-20).

In conclusion, adipose tissue, muscle, and bone function as metabolic reservoirs and in inflammatory regulation. As these components constitute most of the body mass, they must play a fundamental role in regulating the inflammatory response and interorgan communication in sepsis. In septic patients, immobilization leads to muscle disuse and atrophy, promoting osteoporosis. IL-6 plays an essential role in this process. Overall, the dysregulation of adipose, muscle, and bone metabolism may lead to the dysregulation of thermogenesis and glucose metabolism and impact the inflammatory response. We lack knowledge of how these systems are effectively regulated in sepsis.

Forward to the future: monitoring organ cross-talk

Organ crosstalk in sepsis involves a complex signaling network in which various mediators participate in multiple regulatory and counterregulatory pathways between native organs. Understanding the diverse and sometimes conflicting actions of the mediators involved in organ crosstalk is challenging (Table [1](#page-7-0)). The challenge is compounded by the reality that critically ill patients often necessitate multiple support techniques, resulting in intricate crosstalk between their native organs and artificial organ support. A recent review raises this question, categorizing the crosstalk into four major subgroups: between two or more native organs, between native and artificial organs, between two or more artificial organs, and between multiple native and artificial organs, which is frequently observed in critical patients [\[118](#page-10-21)].

Currently, the approach to treating septic shock is based on regular evaluation of the clinical course and treatment efficacy through repeated measurements of metabolites that could be used as biomarkers. This approach has been insufficient for mitigating the mortality associated with organ dysfunction in patients with septic shock. We need to improve our analysis of the impaired organ crosstalk that precedes organ dysfunction.

How can organ crosstalk be tracked in sepsis patients? Metabolomics may be performed in this situation [\[119](#page-10-22)]. The applicability of metabolomics studies in clinical practice may provide a better understanding of disease mechanisms and the possibility of developing new diagnostic and therapeutic methodologies. Exploring the metabolic profile that may reflect organ crosstalk is essential for a comprehensive understanding of how organ crosstalk functions [[120\]](#page-10-23).

However, the application of metabolomics does not resolve one major limitation. The challenges of causal inference and directionality will remain. It is essential to consider organ crosstalk as a complex language system to address this issue. Language is a system of conventional symbols through which group members express themselves. Some characteristics of effective language include that it is concrete and precise. We know the actions of some mediators in organ crosstalk, but we must obtain a better understanding of the rules that enable concrete and accurate instructions to be constructed. How are those rules defined in a complex system? The relationship between the complexity of a system and the emergence of higher-level properties from the interactions of its components is intriguing and a significant focus in computational analysis [\[121,](#page-10-24) [122](#page-10-25)]. To predict the outcome of such complex systems, like organ crosstalk, it is more important to characterize their functional architecture, in other words, to identify the relevant macroscopic levels that determine the overall result, than to have an extensive microscopic description [[123\]](#page-10-26). Perhaps it is not essential who produces what, but rather how the mediators interact in the relevant macroscopic levels, from which higher-level properties emerge, enabling clarification, for example, of the various sepsis phenotypes [[124](#page-10-27)]. Further work is needed, and perhaps by integrating multiple data sources, including metabolomics profiles, through data fusion, we can develop a more accurate personalized model of the mechanics of sepsis [\[125](#page-10-28)] with the potential to create new models of prediction of the evolution of disease and new therapeutics targets [\[126](#page-10-29)].

Conclusions

MODS remains a frequent cause of mortality in septic patients despite the advances in intensive care medicine [[23\]](#page-8-19). Understanding the mechanics of organ crosstalk in sepsis and all the complex signaling mechanisms between organs will enable the anticipation of organ damage and the development of individualized therapeutic strategies for critically ill patients. This review reinforces the intimate and complex connections between multiple organs and systems involved in organ crosstalk in sepsis. New methods are necessary to enable more precise

Table 1 Summary list of contributing factors in organ crosstalk in sepsis

monitoring and the development of individualized therapeutic strategies. The potential application of metabolo-
mics in evaluating organ crosstalk in sepsis is exciting. mics in evaluating organ crosstalk in sepsis is exciting.

Ach AKI ANF

ARDS Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome

BAT Brown Adipose Tissue

BAT Brown Adipose Tissue

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-024-01377-0) [org/10.1186/s13613-024-01377-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-024-01377-0).

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

These authors contributed equally to this work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

We have no funding.

Data availability

Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We declare that this work is original and has not been published elsewhere, nor is it currently under consideration for publication elsewhere.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 30 March 2024 / Accepted: 10 September 2024 Published online: 19 September 2024

References

- 1. Witzany G. What is Life? Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences. 2020;7.
- 2. Armutcu F. Organ crosstalk: the potent roles of inflammation and fibrotic changes in the course of organ interactions. Inflamm Res. 2019;68:825–39.
- 3. Appiah MG, Park EJ, Akama Y, Nakamori Y, Kawamoto E, Gaowa A et al. Cellular and Exosomal regulations of Sepsis-Induced metabolic alterations. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22.
- 4. Quaglia M, Fanelli V, Merlotti G, Costamagna A, Deregibus MC, Marengo M et al. Dual role of Extracellular vesicles in Sepsis-Associated kidney and Lung Injury. Biomedicines. 2022;10.
- 5. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The Third International Consensus definitions for Sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA. 2016;315:801–10.
- 6. Asim M, Amin F, El-Menyar A. Multiple organ dysfunction syndrome: contemporary insights on the clinicopathological spectrum. Qatar Med J. 2020;2020:22.
- 7. Sakr Y, Jaschinski U, Wittebole X, Szakmany T, Lipman J, Namendys-Silva SA, et al. Sepsis in intensive care unit patients: worldwide data from the intensive care over nations audit. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2018;5:ofy313.
- 8. Pool R, Gomez H, Kellum JA. Mechanisms of Organ Dysfunction in Sepsis. Crit Care Clin. 2018;34:63–80.
- 9. de Zoete MR, Palm NW, Zhu S, Flavell RA, Inflammasomes. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2014;6:a016287.
- 10. Samuels JM, Moore HB, Moore EE. Coagulopathy in severe sepsis: interconnectivity of coagulation and the immune system. Surg Infect (Larchmt). 2018;19:208–15.
- 11. Zamora R, Korff S, Mi Q, Barclay D, Schimunek L, Zucca R, et al. A computational analysis of dynamic, multi-organ inflammatory crosstalk induced by endotoxin in mice. PLoS Comput Biol. 2018;14:e1006582.
- 12. Chalaris A, Garbers C, Rabe B, Rose-John S, Scheller J. The soluble interleukin 6 receptor: generation and role in inflammation and cancer. Eur J Cell Biol. 2011;90:484–94.
- 13. Lupu F, Keshari RS, Lambris JD, Coggeshall KM. Crosstalk between the coagulation and complement systems in sepsis. Thromb Res. 2014;133(Suppl 1):S28–31.
- 14. Preau S, Vodovar D, Jung B, Lancel S, Zafrani L, Flatres A, et al. Energetic dysfunction in sepsis: a narrative review. Ann Intensive Care. 2021;11:104.
- 15. Liu J, Zhou G, Wang X, Liu D. Metabolic reprogramming consequences of sepsis: adaptations and contradictions. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2022;79:456.
- 16. Majnaric LT, Bosnic Z, Stefanic M, Wittlinger T. Cross-talk between the cytokine IL-37 and thyroid hormones in modulating chronic inflammation Associated with Target Organ damage in Age-related metabolic and vascular conditions. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23.
- 17. Ding R, Meng Y, Ma X. The Central Role of the inflammatory response in understanding the heterogeneity of Sepsis-3. Biomed Res Int. 2018;2018:5086516.
- 18. Ilias I, Vassiliou AG, Keskinidou C, Vrettou CS, Orfanos S, Kotanidou A et al. Changes in Cortisol Secretion and Corticosteroid receptors in COVID-19 and non COVID-19 critically ill patients with Sepsis/Septic shock and scope for treatment. Biomedicines. 2023;11.
- 19. Annane D, Pastores SM, Arlt W, Balk RA, Beishuizen A, Briegel J, et al. Critical illness-related corticosteroid insufficiency (CIRCI): a narrative review from a Multispecialty Task Force of the Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) and the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM). Intensive Care Med. 2017;43:1781–92.
- 20. Pitre T, Drover K, Chaudhuri D, Zeraaktkar D, Menon K, Gershengorn HB, et al. Corticosteroids in Sepsis and septic shock: a systematic review, pairwise, and dose-response Meta-analysis. Crit Care Explor. 2024;6:e1000.
- 21. Mira JC, Brakenridge SC, Moldawer LL, Moore FA. Persistent inflammation, immunosuppression and catabolism syndrome. Crit Care Clin. 2017;33:245–58.
- 22. Cao C, Yu M, Chai Y. Pathological alteration and therapeutic implications of sepsis-induced immune cell apoptosis. Cell Death Dis. 2019;10:782.
- 23. Ronco C, Ricci Z, Husain-Syed F. From multiple organ support therapy to extracorporeal organ support in critically ill patients. Blood Purif. 2019;48:99–105.
- 24. Arteel GE. Liver-lung axes in alcohol-related liver disease. Clin Mol Hepatol. 2020;26:670–6.
- 25. Husain-Syed F, McCullough PA, Birk HW, Renker M, Brocca A, Seeger W, et al. Cardio-pulmonary-renal interactions: a Multidisciplinary Approach. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;65:2433–48.
- 26. Van Linthout S, Tschope C. Inflammation - cause or Consequence of Heart failure or both? Curr Heart Fail Rep. 2017;14:251–65.
- 27. Dolmatova EV, Wang K, Mandavilli R, Griendling KK. The effects of sepsis on endothelium and clinical implications. Cardiovasc Res. 2021;117:60–73.
- 28. Forrester SJ, Booz GW, Sigmund CD, Coffman TM, Kawai T, Rizzo V, et al. Angiotensin II Signal transduction: an update on mechanisms of physiology and pathophysiology. Physiol Rev. 2018;98:1627–738.
- 29. Jahng JW, Song E, Sweeney G. Crosstalk between the heart and peripheral organs in heart failure. Exp Mol Med. 2016;48:e217.
- 30. Ferrero KM, Koch WJ. Metabolic crosstalk between the Heart and Fat. Korean Circ J. 2020;50:379–94.
- 31. Wu YS, Zhu B, Luo AL, Yang L, Yang C. The role of Cardiokines in Heart diseases: beneficial or detrimental? Biomed Res Int. 2018;2018:8207058.
- 32. El Hadi H, Di Vincenzo A, Vettor R, Rossato M. Relationship between heart disease and liver disease: a two-way street. Cells. 2020;9:567.
- 33. Mehta RL, Rabb H, Shaw AD, Singbartl K, Ronco C, McCullough PA et al. Cardiorenal syndrome type 5: clinical presentation, pathophysiology and management strategies from the eleventh consensus conference of the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI). Contrib Nephrol. 2013;182:174–94.
- 34. White LE, Hassoun HT. Inflammatory mechanisms of Organ Crosstalk during ischemic acute kidney Injury. Int J Nephrol. 2012;2012:505197.
- 35. Lowenstein J, Nigam SK. Uremic toxins in Organ Crosstalk. Front Med (Lausanne). 2021;8:592602.
- 36. Liu M, Liang Y, Chigurupati S, Lathia JD, Pletnikov M, Sun Z, et al. Acute kidney injury leads to inflammation and functional changes in the brain. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2008;19:1360–70.
- 37. Li X, Yuan F, Zhou L. Organ crosstalk in Acute kidney Injury: evidence and mechanisms. J Clin Med. 2022;11.
- 38. Li X, Hassoun HT, Santora R, Rabb H. Organ crosstalk: the role of the kidney. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2009;15:481–7.
- 39. Lee SA, Cozzi M, Bush EL, Rabb H. Distant organ dysfunction in Acute kidney Injury: a review. Am J Kidney Dis. 2018;72:846–56.
- 40. Kaneko K, Soty M, Zitoun C, Duchampt A, Silva M, Philippe E, et al. The role of kidney in the inter-organ coordination of endogenous glucose production during fasting. Mol Metab. 2018;16:203–12.
- 41. Cabarcas-Barbosa O, Capalbo O, Ferrero-Fernandez A, Musso CG. Kidneyplacenta crosstalk in health and disease. Clin Kidney J. 2022;15:1284–9.
- 42. Capalbo O, Giuliani S, Ferrero-Fernandez A, Casciato P, Musso CG. Kidney-liver pathophysiological crosstalk: its characteristics and importance. Int Urol Nephrol. 2019;51:2203–7.
- 43. White LE, Chaudhary R, Moore LJ, Moore FA, Hassoun HT. Surgical sepsis and organ crosstalk: the role of the kidney. J Surg Res. 2011;167:306–15.
- 44. Panitchote A, Mehkri O, Hastings A, Hanane T, Demirjian S, Torbic H, et al. Factors associated with acute kidney injury in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Ann Intensive Care. 2019;9:74.
- 45. Alge J, Dolan K, Angelo J, Thadani S, Virk M, Arikan AA. Two to Tango: kidney-lung interaction in acute kidney injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Front Pediatr. 2021;9:744110.
- 46. Kingma JG Jr., Simard D, Rouleau JR. Renocardiac syndromes: physiopathology and treatment stratagems. Can J Kidney Health Dis. 2015;2:41.
- 47. Li X, Yuan F, Zhou L. Organ crosstalk in acute kidney injury: evidence and mechanisms. J Clin Med. 2022;11:6637.
- 48. Husain-Syed F, Ricci Z, Brodie D, Vincent JL, Ranieri VM, Slutsky AS, et al. Extracorporeal organ support (ECOS) in critical illness and acute kidney injury: from native to artificial organ crosstalk. Intensive Care Med. 2018;44:1447–59.
- 49. Quilez ME, Lopez-Aguilar J, Blanch L. Organ crosstalk during acute lung injury, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and mechanical ventilation. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2012;18:23–8.
- 50. Yang Z, Nicholson SE, Cancio TS, Cancio LC, Li Y. Complement as a vital nexus of the pathobiological connectome for acute respiratory distress syndrome: an emerging therapeutic target. Front Immunol. 2023;14:1100461.
- 51. Albaiceta GM, Brochard L, Dos Santos CC, Fernandez R, Georgopoulos D, Girard T, et al. The central nervous system during lung injury and mechanical ventilation: a narrative review. Br J Anaesth. 2021;127:648–59.
- 52. Li C, Chen W, Lin F, Li W, Wang P, Liao G, et al. Functional two-way crosstalk between brain and lung: the Brain-Lung Axis. Cell Mol Neurobiol. 2023;43:991–1003.
- 53. Alen NV. The cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway in humans: state-of-theart review and future directions. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2022;136:104622.
- 54. Ma J, Wang J, Wan J, Charboneau R, Chang Y, Barke RA, et al. Morphine disrupts interleukin-23 (IL-23)/IL-17-mediated pulmonary mucosal host defense against Streptococcus pneumoniae infection. Infect Immun. 2010;78:830–7.
- 55. Ohta Y, Miyamoto K, Kawazoe Y, Yamamura H, Morimoto T. Effect of dexmedetomidine on inflammation in patients with sepsis requiring mechanical ventilation: a sub-analysis of a multicenter randomized clinical trial. Crit Care. 2020;24:493.
- 56. Ostermann M, Lumlertgul N. Acute kidney injury in ECMO patients. Crit Care. 2021;25:313.
- 57. El Aidy S, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Gut microbiota: the conductor in the Orchestra of Immune-Neuroendocrine communication. Clin Ther. 2015;37:954–67.
- 58. Chawla LS, Fink M, Goldstein SL, Opal S, Gomez A, Murray P, et al. The epithelium as a target in Sepsis. Shock. 2016;45:249–58.
- 59. Corriero A, Gadaleta RM, Puntillo F, Inchingolo F, Moschetta A, Brienza N. The central role of the gut in intensive care. Crit Care. 2022;26:379.
- 60. de Jong PR, Gonzalez-Navajas JM, Jansen NJ. The digestive tract as the origin of systemic inflammation. Crit Care. 2016;20:279.
- 61. Miller WD, Keskey R, Alverdy JC. Sepsis and the Microbiome: a vicious cycle. J Infect Dis. 2021;223:S264–9.
- 62. Krautkramer KA, Fan J, Backhed F. Gut microbial metabolites as multi-kingdom intermediates. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2021;19:77–94.
- 63. Fernandez-Veledo S, Vendrell J. Gut microbiota-derived succinate: friend or foe in human metabolic diseases? Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2019;20:439–47.
- 64. Zhang Y, Chen R, Zhang D, Qi S, Liu Y. Metabolite interactions between host and microbiota during health and disease: which feeds the other? Biomed Pharmacother. 2023;160:114295.
- 65. Colombo I, Aiello-Battan F, Elena R, Ruiz A, Petraglia L, Musso CG. Kidney-gut crosstalk in renal disease. Ir J Med Sci. 2021;190:1205–12.
- 66. Bauer M. The liver-gut-axis: initiator and responder to sepsis. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2022;28:216–20.
- 67. Imhann F, Bonder MJ, Vila AV, Fu J, Mujagic Z, Vork L, et al. Proton pump inhibitors affect the gut microbiome. Gut. 2016;65:740–8.
- 68. Di Ciaula A, Baj J, Garruti G, Celano G, De Angelis M, Wang HH et al. Liver Steatosis, Gut-Liver Axis, Microbiome and Environmental Factors. A neverending bidirectional cross-talk. J Clin Med. 2020;9.
- 69. Yan J, Li S, Li S. The role of the liver in sepsis. Int Rev Immunol. 2014;33:498–510.
- 70. Li Y, Palmer A, Lupu L, Huber-Lang M. Inflammatory response to the ischaemia-reperfusion insult in the liver after major tissue trauma. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2022;48:4431–44.
- 71. Oh KJ, Lee DS, Kim WK, Han BS, Lee SC, Bae KH. Metabolic adaptation in obesity and type II diabetes: myokines, Adipokines and Hepatokines. Int J Mol Sci. 2016;18.
- 72. Lopez-Bermudo L, Luque-Sierra A, Maya-Miles D, Gallego-Duran R, Ampuero J, Romero-Gomez M, et al. Contribution of liver and pancreatic islet crosstalk to beta-cell Function/Dysfunction in the Presence of fatty liver. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022;13:892672.
- 73. Kim TH, Hong DG, Yang YM. Hepatokines and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: linking liver pathophysiology to metabolism. Biomedicines. 2021;9.
- 74. Keles U, Ow JR, Kuentzel KB, Zhao LN, Kaldis P. Liver-derived metabolites as signaling molecules in fatty liver disease. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2022;80:4.
- 75. Jensen-Cody SO, Potthoff MJ. Hepatokines and metabolism: deciphering communication from the liver. Mol Metab. 2021;44:101138.
- 76. Gonzalez-Gil AM, Elizondo-Montemayor L. The role of Exercise in the interplay between Myokines, Hepatokines, Osteokines, Adipokines, and Modulation of Inflammation for Energy Substrate Redistribution and Fat Mass loss: a review. Nutrients. 2020;12.
- 77. de Oliveira Dos Santos AR, de Oliveira Zanuso B, Miola VFB, Barbalho SM, Santos Bueno PC, Flato UAP et al. Adipokines, Myokines, and Hepatokines: Crosstalk and metabolic repercussions. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22.
- 78. Angeli P, Tonon M, Pilutti C, Morando F, Piano S. Sepsis-induced acute kidney injury in patients with cirrhosis. Hepatol Int. 2016;10:115–23.
- 79. Sharma N, Sircar A, Anders HJ, Gaikwad AB. Crosstalk between kidney and liver in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: mechanisms and therapeutic approaches. Arch Physiol Biochem. 2022;128:1024–38.
- 80. Lane K, Dixon JJ, MacPhee IA, Philips BJ. Renohepatic crosstalk: does acute kidney injury cause liver dysfunction? Nephrol Dial Transpl. 2013;28:1634–47.
- 81. Stadlbauer V, Krisper P, Aigner R, Haditsch B, Jung A, Lackner C, et al. Effect of extracorporeal liver support by MARS and Prometheus on serum cytokines in acute-on-chronic liver failure. Crit Care. 2006;10:R169.
- 82. Matejuk A, Vandenbark AA, Offner H. Cross-talk of the CNS with Immune Cells and functions in Health and Disease. Front Neurol. 2021;12:672455.
- 83. Pan S, Lv Z, Wang R, Shu H, Yuan S, Yu Y, et al. Sepsis-Induced Brain Dysfunction: Pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. Oxidative Med Cell Longev. 2022;2022:1–13.
- 84. Miri S, Yeo J, Abubaker S, Hammami R. Neuromicrobiology, an emerging neurometabolic facet of the gut microbiome? Front Microbiol. 2023;14:1098412.
- 85. Matsubara Y, Kiyohara H, Teratani T, Mikami Y, Kanai T. Organ and brain crosstalk: the liver-brain axis in gastrointestinal, liver, and pancreatic diseases. Neuropharmacology. 2022;205:108915.
- 87. Luan H, Wang X, Cai Z. Mass spectrometry-based metabolomics: targeting the crosstalk between gut microbiota and brain in neurodegenerative disorders. Mass Spectrom Rev. 2017;38:22–33.
- 88. Holzer P, Farzi A, Hassan AM, Zenz G, Jacan A, Reichmann F. Visceral inflammation and Immune activation stress the brain. Front Immunol. 2017;8:1613.
- 89. Giridharan VV, Generoso JS, Lence L, Candiotto G, Streck E, Petronilho F, et al. A crosstalk between gut and brain in sepsis-induced cognitive decline. J Neuroinflammation. 2022;19:114.
- 90. Choi TY, Choi YP, Koo JW. Mental disorders linked to crosstalk between the gut microbiome and the brain. Exp Neurobiol. 2020;29:403–16.
- 91. Teunis C, Nieuwdorp M, Hanssen N. Interactions between Tryptophan Metabolism, the gut microbiome and the Immune System as potential drivers of non-alcoholic fatty liver Disease (NAFLD) and metabolic diseases. Metabolites. 2022;12.
- 92. Gao K, Mu CL, Farzi A, Zhu WY. Tryptophan metabolism: a link between the gut microbiota and brain. Adv Nutr. 2020;11:709–23.
- 93. Bourhy L, Mazeraud A, Bozza FA, Turc G, Lledo PM, Sharshar T. Neuro-inflammatory response and brain-peripheral crosstalk in Sepsis and Stroke. Front Immunol. 2022;13:834649.
- 94. Carter SJ, Durrington HJ, Gibbs JE, Blaikley J, Loudon AS, Ray DW, et al. A matter of time: study of circadian clocks and their role in inflammation. J Leukoc Biol. 2016;99:549–60.
- 95. Romacho T, Elsen M, Rohrborn D, Eckel J. Adipose tissue and its role in organ crosstalk. Acta Physiol (Oxf). 2014;210:733–53.
- 96. Maurizi G, Della Guardia L, Maurizi A, Poloni A. Adipocytes properties and crosstalk with immune system in obesity-related inflammation. J Cell Physiol. 2018;233:88–97.
- 97. Li F, Li Y, Duan Y, Hu CA, Tang Y, Yin Y. Myokines and adipokines: involvement in the crosstalk between skeletal muscle and adipose tissue. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2017;33:73–82.
- 98. Kirk B, Feehan J, Lombardi G, Duque G. Muscle, bone, and Fat Crosstalk: the Biological role of Myokines, Osteokines, and Adipokines. Curr Osteoporos Rep. 2020;18:388–400.
- 99. Huh JY, Park YJ, Ham M, Kim JB. Crosstalk between adipocytes and immune cells in adipose tissue inflammation and metabolic dysregulation in obesity. Mol Cells. 2014;37:365–71.
- 100. Gerner RR, Wieser V, Moschen AR, Tilg H. Metabolic inflammation: role of cytokines in the crosstalk between adipose tissue and liver. Can J Physiol Pharmacol. 2013;91:867–72.
- 101. Coles CA. Adipokines in healthy skeletal muscle and metabolic disease. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2016;900:133–60.
- 102. Birlutiu V, Boicean LC. Serum leptin level as a diagnostic and prognostic marker in infectious diseases and sepsis: a comprehensive literature review. Med (Baltim). 2021;100:e25720.
- 103. Czerwinska M, Czarzasta K, Cudnoch-Jedrzejewska A. New peptides as potential players in the Crosstalk between the brain and obesity, Metabolic and Cardiovascular diseases. Front Physiol. 2021;12:692642.
- 104. Till A, Fries C, Fenske WK. Brain-to-BAT - and back? Crosstalk between the central nervous system and thermogenic adipose tissue in development and therapy of obesity. Brain Sci. 2022;12:1646.
- 105. Till A, Fries C, Fenske WK. Brain-to-BAT - and back? Crosstalk between the Central Nervous System and thermogenic adipose tissue in development and therapy of obesity. Brain Sci. 2022;12.
- 106. Severinsen MCK, Pedersen BK. Muscle-organ crosstalk: the emerging roles of Myokines. Endocr Rev. 2020;41:594–609.
- 107. Komori T. Functions of osteocalcin in bone, pancreas, Testis, and Muscle. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21.
- 108. Leal DV, Ferreira A, Watson EL, Wilund KR, Viana JL. Muscle-bone crosstalk in chronic kidney disease: the potential Modulatory effects of Exercise. Calcif Tissue Int. 2021;108:461–75.
- 109. He C, He W, Hou J, Chen K, Huang M, Yang M, et al. Bone and muscle crosstalk in aging. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2020;8:585644.
- 110. Cariati I, Bonanni R, Onorato F, Mastrogregori A, Rossi D, Iundusi R et al. Role of physical activity in Bone-Muscle crosstalk: Biological aspects and clinical implications. J Funct Morphol Kinesiol. 2021;6.
- 111. Cutuli SL, Cascarano L, Tanzarella ES, Lombardi G, Carelli S, Pintaudi G, et al. Vitamin D status and potential therapeutic options in critically ill patients: a narrative review of the clinical evidence. Diagnostics (Basel). 2022;12:2719.
- 112. Karstoft K, Pedersen BK. Skeletal muscle as a gene regulatory endocrine organ. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2016;19:270–5.
- 113. Jiang S, Bae JH, Wang Y, Song W. The potential roles of Myokines in Adipose tissue metabolism with Exercise and Cold exposure. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23.
- 114. Eckel J. Myokines in metabolic homeostasis and diabetes. Diabetologia. 2019;62:1523–8.
- 115. Bay ML, Pedersen BK. Muscle-organ crosstalk: focus on Immunometabolism. Front Physiol. 2020;11:567881.
- 116. Barros D, Marques EA, Magalhaes J, Carvalho J. Energy metabolism and frailty: the potential role of exercise-induced myokines - a narrative review. Ageing Res Rev. 2022;82:101780.
- 117. Rogeri PS, Gasparini SO, Martins GL, Costa LKF, Araujo CC, Lugaresi R, et al. Crosstalk between skeletal muscle and immune system: which roles do IL-6 and glutamine play? Front Physiol. 2020;11:582258.
- 118. Papamichalis P, Oikonomou KG, Xanthoudaki M, Valsamaki A, Skoura AL, Papathanasiou SK, et al. Extracorporeal organ support for critically ill patients: overcoming the past, achieving the maximum at present, and redefining the future. World J Crit Care Med. 2024;13:92458.
- 119. Tolani P, Gupta S, Yadav K, Aggarwal S, Yadav AK. Big data, integrative omics and network biology. Adv Protien Chem Struct Biol. 2021;127:127–60.
- 120. Dueñas ME, Larson EA, Lee YJ. Toward mass spectrometry imaging in the metabolomics scale: increasing metabolic coverage through multiple ontissue chemical modifications. Front Plant Sci. 2019;10:860.
- 121. Fuentes M. Complexity and the Emergence of Physical Properties. Entropy. 2014;16:4489–96.
- 122. Choi I-R, Kim JW, Choi MY. Emergence of complexity in poetry: Soleils couchants by Verlaine. Palgrave Commun. 2019;5.
- 123. Gershenson C, Polani D, Martius G. Editorial: Complexity and Self-Organization. Front Robot AI. 2021;8:668305.
- 124. Papathanakos G, Andrianopoulos I, Xenikakis M, Papathanasiou A, Koulenti D, Blot S et al. Clinical Sepsis phenotypes in critically ill patients. Microorganisms. 2023;11.
- 125. Azam KSF, Ryabchykov O, Bocklitz T. A review on Data Fusion of Multidimensional Medical and Biomedical Data. Molecules. 2022;27.
- 126. Ronco C, Chawla L, Husain-Syed F, Kellum JA. Rationale for sequential extracorporeal therapy (SET) in sepsis. Crit Care. 2023;27:50.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.