

# High-dose colistin pharmacokinetics in critically ill patients receiving continuous renal replacement therapy



Gennaro De Pascale<sup>1,2\*[†](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8255-0676)</sup>®, Lucia Lisi<sup>3†</sup>, Salvatore Lucio Cutuli<sup>2</sup>, Carlotta Marinozzi<sup>1</sup>, Altea Palladini<sup>1</sup>, Elena Sancho Ferrando<sup>4</sup>, Eloisa Sofia Tanzarella<sup>2</sup>, Gianmarco Lombardi<sup>2</sup>, Domenico Luca Grieco<sup>1,2</sup>, Alessandro Caroli<sup>1</sup>, Rikardo Xhemalaj<sup>1</sup>, Laura Cascarano<sup>2</sup>, Gabriella Maria Pia Ciotti<sup>1,2</sup>, Claudio Sandroni<sup>1,2</sup>, Maurizio Sanguinetti<sup>1,5</sup>, Pierluigi Navarra<sup>3</sup> and Massimo Antonelli<sup>1,2</sup>

# **Abstract**

**Background** Colistin, administered as intravenous colistimethate (CMS), is still used in the critical care setting and current guidelines recommend high dosage CMS in patients undergoing continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT). Due to the paucity of real-life data, we aimed to describe colistin pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) profile in a cohort of critically ill patients with infections due to carbapenem-resistant (CR) bacteria undergoing CRRT.

**Results** All consecutive patients admitted to three Intensive Care Units (ICUs) of a large metropolitan University Hospital, treated with colistin for at least 48 h at the dosage of 6.75 MUI q12, after 9 MIU loading dose, and undergoing CRRT were included. After the seventh dose, patients underwent blood serial sampling during a time frame of 24 h. We included 20 patients, who had CR-*Acinetobacter baumannii* ventilator-associated pneumonia and were characterized by a median SAPS II and SOFA score of 41 [34.5–59.3] and 9 [6.7–11], respectively. Fifteen patients died during ICU stay and six recovered renal function. Median peak and trough colistin concentrations were 16.6 mcg/mL [14.8–20.6] and 3.9 mcg/mL [3.3–4.4], respectively. Median area under the time–concentration curve (AUC<sub>0−24</sub>) and average steady-state concentration (C<sub>SS, avg</sub>) were 193.9 mcg h/mL [170.6–208.6] and 8.07 mcg/mL [7.1–8.7]. Probability of target attainment of colistin pharmacodynamics according to the *fAUC*<sub>0−24</sub>/MIC target≥12 was 100% for MIC ≤ 2 mcg/mL and 85% for MIC = 4 mcg/ML, although exceeding the toxicity limit of C<sub>ss, avg</sub> 3–4 mcg/ mL.

**Conclusions** In critically ill patients with CR infections undergoing CRRT, recommended CMS dosage resulted in colistin plasmatic levels above bacterial MIC<sub>90</sub>, but exceeding the safety C<sub>ss</sub>, avg. limit.

**Trial registration** This trial was registered in ClinicalTrials.gov on 23/07/2021 with the ID NCT04995133 (https// clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04995133).

† Gennaro De Pascale and Lucia Lisi contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence: Gennaro De Pascale gennaro.depascalemd@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

**Keywords** Sepsis, Infection, Colistin, Colistimethate, Critical care

# **Background**

Carbapenem-resistant (CR) gram-negative bacteria are leading causes of hospital-acquired infections [\[1](#page-6-0)[–4](#page-6-1)], being *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* the most common species associated with worse clinical outcomes and representing a worldwide research priority for the development of newly effective molecules [[5,](#page-6-2) [6](#page-6-3)]. Despite the recent introduction of new potent, well-tolerated, molecules against the above strains, old drugs, like polymixins, have still a clinical placement, like in the empirical phase, as part of combination strategy or as salvage therapy after first-line agents failure [[7\]](#page-6-4). Colistin (polymixin E) is the most commonly used molecule in this class, being administered in the form of its prodrug, colistimethate (CMS), which is either hydrolysed to colistin or metabolised via the renal route [[8\]](#page-6-5). Colistin is an amphiphilic antibiotic with homogeneous distribution within the extracellular body water and exerts a rapid bactericidal activity by linking to the lipopolysaccarides and disrupting the outer membrane of Gram Negative bacteria [[8\]](#page-6-5). Unfortunately, it is burdened by a narrow therapeutic window due to its dose-dependent nephrotoxicity and neurotoxicity  $[9-12]$  $[9-12]$ . In patients with creatinine clearance (CrCl)≥90 ml/min, a loading dose of 9 million IU of CMS followed by ≈10.9 million IU as maintenance daily dose is recommended to achieve a plasma average level at the steady state  $(C_{ss, avg})$  of 2 mcg/ mL [\[13](#page-6-8)]. Little is known about colistin pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamics (PD) during continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) [[14,](#page-6-9) [15](#page-6-10)] and current guidelines [\[13\]](#page-6-8), based on limited evidence, recommend increasing the maintenance CMS dose to  $\approx$  13.3 million IU/day [\[16](#page-6-11)]. However, this dosing strategy has never been prospectively assessed in a real-life setting. Indeed, we conducted an open-label, prospective study aimed to analyse the colistin PK/PD profile in critically ill patients undergoing CRRT.

# **Methods**

## **Patients and study design**

This was a prospective, open-label, observational study performed between 2021 and 2022 in three intensive Care Units (ICUs) (total 62 beds) of a 1500-bed teaching hospital in Rome, Italy. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the protocol was approved by the Catholic University's Ethical Committee (EUDRACT 201-001019-95 ID-Study 3946). Written informed consent was obtained from the patients' legally authorized representative. This manuscript was written according to the principles of "Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology" (STROBE) [[17\]](#page-6-12).

All patients undergoing antibiotic treatment with high dose CMS as an empirical or targeted therapeutic strategy during continuous renal replacement therapy were evaluated for inclusion. Inclusion criteria were: age>18 years, duration of treatment planned>48 h, documented or suspected infection with a CR bacteria, stage 3 Acute Kidney Injury (AKI) according to the KDIGO classification [\[18\]](#page-6-13), half-life of the CRRT filter<48 h. Pregnant women, and patients with high probability of short-term death according to the simplified acute physiology score (SAPS II) were excluded.

According to current guidelines [\[13](#page-6-8)], CMS high dosage (HD) was administered intravenously (IV) at loading dose (LD) of 9 MIU mg over 30-min, followed by 6.75 MIU over 30-min q12. On day 4, at steady state, pharmacokinetic analyses of the study group were performed. CRRT was delivered as continuous veno-venous hemodiafiltration (CVVHDF) with Prismaflex ST150 (Gambro AB, Lund, Sweden) using the AN69 ST membrane (acrylonitrile-sodium-methyl sulfonate surface treated; surface area, 1.5m2) and regional citrate anticoagulation. Dose intensity was prescribed according to current guidelines [[18\]](#page-6-13), and with a ratio of dialysate to replacement fluid of 1:1. The CRRT circuit and membrane were changed every 72 h, as standard operating procedure in our center.

Clinical and demographic data were recorded upon enrolment. Sepsis was managed according to the Surviving Sepsis Campaign 2021 guidelines [\[19](#page-6-14)], Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) was diagnosed according to the Berlin definition [\[20](#page-6-15)]. Renal recovery was defined as a reduction in peak AKI-KDIGO stage [[21](#page-6-16)] and was evaluated in patients without chronic kidney disease.

# **Sample collection and PK/PD analysis**

Although colistin concentration-time profiles are stable just on day 3, blood samples were collected after the seventh dose (on day 4 of treatment) at T0 (immediately before the initiation of CMS infusion) and 1 h, 2 h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 10 h and 12 h from the start of CMS infusion. First order kinetic determined pharmacokinetic parameters; maximum and minimum concentrations (*C*max, *C*min) were directly obtained from observed peak and trough concentrations. The 0–12 h area under the time–concentration curve ( $AUC_{0-12}$ ) was determined by the linear trapezoidal rule. Colistin  $AUC_{0-24}$  was calculated as  $AUC_{0-12} \times 2$ . The average steady-state plasma concentration  $(C_{ss, avg})$  was obtained from the ratio of colistin AUC  $_{0-24}$  above T (i.e. the dosage interval). In all

patients, distribution volume (*Vd*), drug clearance (CL), and elimination half-life  $(t_{1/2})$  were calculated after a single 6.75 MIU intravenous dose at steady state. According to current guidelines [[13](#page-6-8), [22\]](#page-6-17), the recommended PK/PD therapeutic target has been considered the area under the plasma concentration- time curve across 24 h at steady state above MIC ( $fAUC_{ss,0-24}$  hr) of ~12 mg\_hour/L, that equates to a target  $C_{ss, avg}$  of  $\sim$  2 mg/L of the total drug against susceptible strains (MIC≤2 mcg/ mL). Higher concentrations were shown to increase both the incidence and severity of AKI [\[13](#page-6-8)]. Colistin and CMS

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



Categorical variables are expressed in count (percentage); continuous variables are expressed as median [interquartile range]

*Abbreviations*: BMI: body mass index; VAP: Ventilator Associated Pneumonia; SAPS II: Simplified Acute Physiology Score II; CHD: Chronic Heart Disease; COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; CKD: Chronic Kidney Disease; MV: mechanical ventilation; ICU: Intensive Care Unit; ARDS: Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome; SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; BAL: bronchoalveolar lavage; BSI: Blood Stream Infection; MIC: Minimal Inhibitory Concentration; CRRT: continuous renal replacement therapy; CVVHDF: continuous veno-venous haemodiafiltration

\*The rate of renal recovery was computed from the 16 patients without chronic renal failure

concentration were measured using and modifying the Gobin assay [\[23](#page-6-18)].

#### **Microbiological analysis**

Bacterial isolates were identified by using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS). MICs were determined by a Micronaut AST system-based BMD, VITEK 2 AST-N397 card, according to the manufacturer's instructions. EUCAST (version 11.0, 2021) clinical breakpoints were used to interpret MICs. In pneumonia episodes, microbiological evidence of bacterial infection was achieved by processing respiratory samples both for conventional and fast-microbiology panel testing. Gram staining results showed the presence of inflammatory cells in all samples, indicating that their collection had been performed appropriately. Semiquantitative culture results were obtained using calibrated loops to inoculate and streak samples on both selective/differential [\[24\]](#page-6-19).

#### **Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistical Software version 28.01.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA), whereas data were graphed using GraphPad Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to value the variables distribution. The data with a non-Normal distribution were assessed with Mann–Whitney test and the median and selected centiles' (25th–75th) value were given (interquartile range, IQR). Categorical variables are presented as proportions. Due to the PK/PD design of the study, a sample size was not calculated, foreseeing the recruitment of 20 patients during the study period.

# **Results**

The clinical characteristics of the 20 enrolled patients are reported in Table [1](#page-2-0). Median SAPS II score was 41 and the most relevant comorbidities were chronic heart disease, chronic obstructive respiratory disease, chronic renal failure and diabetes. All included patients were affected by CR-*Acinetobacter baumannii* ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP). Most patients needed invasive mechanical ventilation due to ARDS and received high dose vasopressors due to septic shock. The total median duration of mechanical ventilation, vasopressors and CRRT were 26 days, 6.5 days and 12.5 days, respectively. Five (75%) patients died, and 35% of survivors had renal recovery.

Concomitant bacteraemia was detected in 30% of the cases and the median duration of CMS treatment was 13 days [9.25-12]. All patients received a combination therapy with either cefiderocol (2 g q8–6 h), tigecycline (100 mg of q12 after a 200 mg loading dose), fosfomycin  $(8 \text{ g } q8)$  or ampicillin-sulbactam  $(9 \text{ g } q8)$ ; 18 out of Categorical variables are expressed as count (percentage); continuous variables are expressed as median [interquartile range]

*Abbreviations*: CMS: colistimethate; *C*ssavg: average steady-state concentration;. *C*max: maximum concentration; *C*min: minimum concentration; AUC: Area Under The

<span id="page-3-1"></span>Colisimethate plasma concetrations  $\uplus$  $\overline{A}$ 2200 Colistin plasma concetrations  $2s$ 2000 1800 1600  $2<sup>0</sup>$ 1400  $(mcg/ml)$ 18  $(mcg/ml)$  $\frac{16}{14}$ 1200 1000  $12<sub>1</sub>$ 800  $10-$ 600 400 200  $10$  $\overline{11}$  $\overline{2}$ 3 10 Time (hours) Time (hours)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 2** Colistin PK/PD profile and CRTT settings

<b>Colistin PK/PD parameters</b>	
$C_{\text{max}}$ , mcg/mL	16.65 [14.77-20.64]
$C_{\text{min}}$ , mcg/mL	3.93 [3.29-4.37]
$C_{ss, avg'}$ mcg/mL	$8.07$ [7.1-8.69]
$t_{1/2}$ , h	20.89 [14.45-22.84]
Vd, L	126.37 [79.57-139.17]
CL <sub>TOT</sub> , L/h	2.08 [1.94-2.37]
$CL_{CRRT}$ , $L/h$	1.21 [0.88-1.35]
%CL <sub>TOT</sub> , L/h	49.2 [39.25-64.2]
%E	17.65 [14.98-21.67]
$AUC_{0-24}$ , mcg h/mL	193.86 [170.6-208.65]
$fAUC_{0-24}$ mcg h/mL	58.16 [51.18-62.6]
$fAUC_{0-24}/0.5$ mcg/mL $\geq$ 12	20(1)
$fAUC_{0-24}/1$ mcg/mL $\geq$ 12	20(1)
$fAUC_{0-24}/2$ mcg/mL $\geq$ 12	20(1)
$fAUC_{0-24}/4$ mcg/mL $\geq$ 12	17(85)
$fAUC_{0-24}/6$ mcg/mL $\geq$ 12	1(5)

# \*Measure on the sampling day

Curve; *Vd*: distribution volume; t1/2: half-life; CL: clearance; *%E*: % filter extraction



20 received concomitant nebulized CMS (5 MIU q8) [\[24](#page-6-19)]. The median CVVHDF dose was 32.5 ml/kg/h, and all patients were anuric; on the sampling day, median cumulative fluid balance, serum albumin and hematocrit were +651.5 mL, 2.1 g/dL and 28%, respectively.

An one-compartment model with first-order disposition processes adequately described colistin concentration-time curve, although significant interindividual variability was observed. Median *Vd*,  $t_{1/2}$  and  $CI<sub>TOT</sub>$  were 126.37 L, 20.89 h and 2.08 L/h, respectively (Table [2](#page-3-0)). Median [IQR] values of  $C_{\text{max}}$ ,  $C_{\text{min}}$  and  $C_{\text{ss, avg}}$  were 16.65 [14.77–20.64] mcg/mL, 3.93 [3.29–4.37] mcg/mL and 8.07 [7.1–8.69] mcg/mL, respectively. Furthermore,  $C_{ss, avg}$  of colistin was significantly lower in patients who recovered renal function compared to the ones that had

no renal recovery (7.48 [6.35, 8.05] vs. 8.31 [7.35, 9.13] mcg/mL, respectively; *p*=0.026), while no differences were observed for  $C_{\text{min}}$ ,  $C_{\text{max}}$ , and according to patient survival. Figure [1](#page-3-1) shows the mean $\pm$ SD time–concentration profile at different time points of plasma CMS and colistin concentrations, compared with most frequently observed MIC values  $(0.5-1 - 2-4)$ . Median [IQR] values of AUC<sub>0−24</sub> and  $fAUC_{0-24}$  were 193.86 [170.6–208.65] mcg h/mL and 58.16 mcg h/mL [51.18–62.6], respectively. The probability of target attainment of colistin pharmacodynamics according to the *f*AUC<sub>0−24</sub>/MIC target of 12, according to increasing MIC values, is shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0). This dosing schedule allowed to attain the PD target (*f*AUC<sub>0−24</sub>/MIC≥12) for 100% of isolates

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 2 Probability of target attainment according to different MIC values

with MIC=2 and 85% with MIC=4 mcg/mL, although exceeding the toxicity limit of  $C_{ss, avg}$  3–4 mcg/mL.

# **Discussion**

Our study showed that high-dose CMS (9 MIU as loading dose, followed by 6.75 MUI q12) in critically ill patients with CR-*Acinteobacter baumannii* VAP undergoing CVVHDF resulted in higher than recommended  $C_{ss, avg}$ for germs with MIC≤2 mcg/mL, although allowing to attain the PD target (*f*AUC<sub>0−24</sub>/MIC≥12) in all treated patients.

Several variables may influence colistin plasma concentration as demographic (e.g. body mass), clinical (e.g. kidney function) and therapeutic (e.g. RRT modality, membrane, mode and dose) variables [[25\]](#page-6-20). In order to account for CRRT removal of colistin, the 2019 international guidelines for the optimal use of polymyxins [[13\]](#page-6-8) recommend administering a daily dose of 440 colistin base activity (CBA), corresponding to  $\approx$  13,3 MIU or ≈1173 mg of CMS in patients who are exposed to this extracorporeal organ support. This recommendation derives from PK data indicating the need of a 10% increase in daily dose per 1 h of CRRT, with the aim of obtaining the target  $C_{ss, avg}$  2 mcg/mL [[13,](#page-6-8) [26](#page-6-21)]. In patients with renal failure, plasma concentrations of CMS, undergoing a considerable removal during CVVHDF with a significant lower conversion to the active drug, are higher than those of formed colistin. Additionally colistin has an extensive carrier-mediated tubular reabsorption in the kidney, which is not achievable with extracorporeal device currently used in clinical practice. The need for optimizing colistin use in such patients was identified after the first reports of drug under-exposure when using drug dosages according to the residual renal function [\[27](#page-6-22), [28\]](#page-6-23). A subsequent study on five patients [[29\]](#page-6-24), used higher dosages of CMS until 2 MIU q8, showing suboptimal  $C_{ss, avg}$  (≤1 mcg/mL), a  $fAUC_{0-24}/MIC$  lower than the effective PD target, a membrane extraction ratio of 68%

and a  $CL_{HDF}$  above 4 L/h. Similarly, another PK/PD analysis on three patients confirmed high removal of colistin during CRRT, ranging between 45% and 60%, with the effluent clearance accounting for about 40% [\[30\]](#page-6-25).

Interestingly, more recently, when CMS 4.5 MIU q12 were administered to eight critically ill patients receiv-ing CRRT [[31](#page-7-0)], median  $C_{\text{max}}$  values for CMS and colistin were 12.6 mg/L and 1.72 mg/L, respectively. The authors, documenting a 62%  $Cl_{CVHDF}$ , formulated a pharmacokinetic model where a LD of 12 MIU followed by a maintenance dosage of 6.75–7.5 MIU q12 would be needed to achieve a target  $C_{ss, avg}$  of 2–3 mcg/mL [\[31](#page-7-0)]. On top of that, in 2017 *Nation and co-workers* developed a guidance algorithm for colistin use in critically ill patients and indicated that a daily CMS dose of about 13 MIU daily would allow to achieve 80% of target attainment rate  $(C_{ss, avg} \ge 2 \text{ mg/mL})$  with less than 30% of risk to overcome the safety limit of  $C_{ss, avg} \geq 4 \text{~mg/mL}$  [[15](#page-6-10)].

Said that, some authors, when using high CMS daily administration schedules during CRRT, observed steadystate concentrations above 10 mcg/mL, especially in presence of residual renal function and when high-dose CMS was administered for a prolonged course [[32](#page-7-1)[–34](#page-7-2)]. Further, in the latest and largest investigation on this topic, ten critically ill patients requiring CRRT and receiving CMS 3 MIU q8 were prospectively studied [\[35](#page-7-3)]. The authors found that CRRT clearance accounted for 41% an 28% of total CMS and colistin clearance, being significantly influenced by haematocrit levels, and documented therapeutic colistin values of both  $AUC_{0-24}$  (569 [404–741] mcg h/mL) and  $C_{ss, avg}$  (4.43 [3.01–7.24] mcg/ mL), although on the upper limit of the safety target.

The observed literature heterogeneity may have several explanations. First the proposed algorithm for high CMS daily dosage derives from a small population pharmacokinetic analysis on 29 patients including different RRT modalities, intensity, and residual renal function [[14](#page-6-9), [15](#page-6-10)]. Moreover, a risk of dosage confusion exists between CMS reported as IU or mg, and formed colistin reported as mg of CBA, without the correct universal conversion of CMS 1 MIU : CBA 30 mg : CMS 80 mg [[22\]](#page-6-17). Moreover, the real unbound colistin fraction, which is variable according to the elevation of its major carrier, the alpha- 1-acid glycoprotein, may present a huge inter-patient variability, being responsible of the observed unpredictable pharmacokinetic profile [\[36](#page-7-4)].

In our study, all patients underwent CVVHDF with a similar daily dose and since this technique uses diffusion across a concentration gradient (e.g. hemodialysis) in addition to hemofiltration across a pressure gradient, the equivalences of the two modes with respect to CMS/ colistin clearance are unknown. Additionally none of our patients had residual renal function and almost all were also receiving high dose nebulized CMS (5 MIU q8),

delivered through vibrating mesh nebulizers, potentially contributing to the higher than expected steady-state colistin levels [\[24](#page-6-19)]. In our study we cannot ascribe the observed high  $C_{ss, avg}$  to a partial tubal reabsorption, but we used in all the patients the novel AN69 ST membrane, which allows much higher clearance through elimination at both membrane surface (adsorption, rapidly saturated) and in its bulk (transmembrane removal, less easily saturated). In addition, we cannot exclude a partial plasmatic absorption after CMS nebulization although recent results from PK studies documented colistin concentrations<1 mcg/mL after aerosolization of either 3 MIU and 5 MIU CMS [\[37](#page-7-5), [38\]](#page-7-6).

In a recent post-hoc analysis of a large PK/PD study  $[12]$  $[12]$  the authors observed that for colistin Css, avg between 2.25 and 6.75 mcg/mL more than the majority of patients had≥50% loss in baseline creatinine clearance and a value of 3 mcg/mL has been identified as the maximal tolerability threshold for Css, avg. Although no data are available on renal toxicity of high colistin concentrations in patients undergoing CRRT, in our population six (37.5%) out of the 16 patients without chronic renal disease in our population had renal recovery, stimulating a research question about colistin potential effects on the ability of patients to recover from sepsis-induced AKI.

We showed that the recommended CMS dosage resulted in high and potentially toxic colistin levels in patients undergoing CRRT. In our study,  $C_{ss, avg}$  of colistin was significantly lower in patients that had renal recovery compared to the ones that did not recover renal function, although this is an observational finding and does not imply causation. In contrast, the observed concentrations could be useful for less susceptible bacteria with an MIC=4 mcg/mL or difficult-to-treat infections (i.e. pneumonia), in the initial empirical therapy phase, pending the susceptibility profile of the new and less toxic molecules. Moreover, the availability of colistin therapeutic drug monitoring could potentially be of help reducing the risk of achieving toxic colistin levels.

Our study has several limitations. First, we measured only total colistin and derived the unbound fraction from the available literature data. Second almost all the patients were receiving concomitant nebulized CMS as part of *A.baumanni* VAP treatment, whose adsorption fraction cannot be measured. Third we did not evaluate the potential neuro-toxicity of the observed colistin concentrations, since all the patients were deeply sedated and most were administered muscle relaxants [\[39,](#page-7-7) [40](#page-7-8)]. Fourth, we did not collect ultrafiltrate samples and volume, as well as pre-filter and post-filter blood samples, that would have been useful to evaluate the total (adsorption and transmembrane removal) colistin clearance [[14](#page-6-9)] of the AN69-ST membrane.

Finally, we cannot draw any conclusion on CMS high dosage clinical value, not having any control group. However this is the largest study where colistin PK/PD has been investigated in patients receiving CRRT and where guidelines-recommended CMS dosage (≈13.5 MIU/ day) has been prospectively evaluated in the critically ill setting.

#### **Conclusions**

The use of recommended high dose colistimethate in critically ill patients undergoing CRRT resulted in steadystate average concentrations above colistin  $MIC<sub>90</sub>$  of commonly isolated bacteria, but exceeding the recommended safety threshold. Apart from the early phase of empirical therapy, lower CMS daily dosages should be adopted in the clinical practice, especially when colistin therapeutic drug monitoring or alternative drugs are not available.

### **Abbreviations**



#### **Acknowledgements**

None.

#### **Author contributions**

Conceptualization, G.D.P., L.L., P.N. and M.A.; methodology, G.D.P., L.L. and S.L.C.; validation, P.N. and M.A.; formal analysis, L.L. and G.D.P.; investigation, G.D.P., L.L., S.L.C., C.M., A.P., E.S.F., E.S.T., G.L., D.L.G., A.C., R.X., L.C., G.M.P.C., C.S., M.S., P.N. and M.A.; resources, G.D.P. and L.L.; data curation, L.L., S.L.C., C.M., A.C., R.X., L.C. and G.M.P.C.; writing—original draft preparation, G.D.P. and L.L.; writing—review and editing, M.S., P.N., M.A.; visualization, G.D.P and L.L.; supervision, P.N., M.A.; project administration, G.D.P., L.L., P.N., M.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### **Funding**

Not applicable.

#### **Data availability**

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Declarations**

#### **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

The protocol of this study was approved by the Human Research Ethical Committee of the Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy (EUDRACT 201-001019-95 ID-Study 3946). Written informed consent was obtained from the patients' legally authorized representative before the inclusion in the study.

#### **Consent for publication**

All patients' legally authorized representative expressed favorable consent for publication.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze Biotecnologiche di Base, Cliniche Intensivologiche e Perioperatorie, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy

2 Dipartimento di Scienze dell'Emergenza, Anestesiologiche e della Rianimazione, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Cattolica del Sacro Cuore Largo A. Gemelli 8, Rome 00168, Italy <sup>3</sup>Sezione di Farmacologia, Dipartimento di Sicurezza e Bioetica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy

4 Medical Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Clinic Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain 5 Dipartimento di Scienze di Laboratorio e Infettivologiche, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy

## Received: 11 March 2024 / Accepted: 18 September 2024 Published online: 28 September 2024

#### **References**

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>Vincent JL, Sakr Y, Singer M, Martin-Loeches I, Machado FR, Marshall JC, et al. Prevalence and outcomes of infection among patients in Intensive Care Units in 2017. JAMA. 2020;323(15):1478–87.
- 2. Tabah A, Buetti N, Staiquly Q, Ruckly S, Akova M, Aslan AT, et al. Epidemiology and outcomes of hospital-acquired bloodstream infections in intensive care unit patients: the EUROBACT-2 international cohort study. Intensive Care Med. 2023;49(2):178–90.
- 3. Vincent JL, Rello J, Marshall J, Silva E, Anzueto A, Martin CD, et al. International study of the prevalence and outcomes of infection in intensive care units. JAMA. 2009;302(21):2323–9.
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>4. Vincent JL, Bihari DJ, Suter PM, Bruining HA, White J, Nicolas-Chanoin MH, et al. The prevalence of nosocomial infection in intensive care units in Europe. Results of the European prevalence of infection in Intensive Care (EPIC) study. EPIC International Advisory Committee. JAMA. 1995;274(8):639–44.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>5. Lombardi G, Tanzarella ES, Cutuli SL, De Pascale G. Treatment of severe infections caused by ESBL or carbapenemases-producing Enterobacteriaceae. Med Intensiva (Engl Ed). 2023;47(1):34–44.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>6. Rando E, Cutuli SL, Sangiorgi F, Tanzarella ES, Giovannenze F, De Angelis G, et al. Cefiderocol-containing regimens for the treatment of carbapenem-resistant A. Baumannii ventilator-associated pneumonia: a propensity-weighted cohort study. JAC Antimicrob Resist. 2023;5(4):dlad085.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>7. Lim LM, Ly N, Anderson D, Yang JC, Macander L, Jarkowski A 3, et al. Resurgence of colistin: a review of resistance, toxicity, pharmacodynamics, and dosing. Pharmacotherapy. 2010;30(12):1279–91.
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>8. De Pascale GA. How to use Colistin in the ICU. Clin Pulm Med. 2015;22(3):141–7.
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>9. Pogue JM, Lee J, Marchaim D, Yee V, Zhao JJ, Chopra T, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for colistin-associated nephrotoxicity in a large academic health system. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;53(9):879–84.
- 10. Sorli L, Luque S, Grau S, Berenguer N, Segura C, Montero MM, et al. Trough colistin plasma level is an independent risk factor for nephrotoxicity: a prospective observational cohort study. BMC Infect Dis. 2013;13:380.
- 11. Horcajada JP, Sorli L, Luque S, Benito N, Segura C, Campillo N, et al. Validation of a colistin plasma concentration breakpoint as a predictor of nephrotoxicity in patients treated with colistin methanesulfonate. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2016;48(6):725–7.
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>12. Forrest A, Garonzik SM, Thamlikitkul V, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Paterson DL, Li J et al. Pharmacokinetic/Toxicodynamic Analysis of Colistin-Associated Acute Kidney Injury in critically ill patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2017;61(11).
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>13. Tsuji BT, Pogue JM, Zavascki AP, Paul M, Daikos GL, Forrest A, et al. International Consensus guidelines for the optimal use of the polymyxins: endorsed by the American College of Clinical Pharmacy (ACCP), European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious diseases (ESCMID), Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), International Society for anti-infective pharmacology (ISAP), society of critical Care Medicine (SCCM), and Society of Infectious diseases pharmacists (SIDP). Pharmacotherapy. 2019;39(1):10–39.
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>14. Garonzik SM, Li J, Thamlikitkul V, Paterson DL, Shoham S, Jacob J, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of colistin methanesulfonate and formed colistin in critically ill patients from a multicenter study provide dosing suggestions for various categories of patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55(7):3284–94.
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>15. Nation RL, Garonzik SM, Thamlikitkul V, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Forrest A, Paterson DL, et al. Dosing guidance for intravenous colistin in critically-ill patients. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;64(5):565–71.
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>16. Cutuli SL, Cascarano L, Lazzaro P, Tanzarella ES, Pintaudi G, Grieco DL et al. Antimicrobial exposure in critically ill patients with Sepsis-Associated Multi-organ Dysfunction requiring extracorporeal organ support: a narrative review. Microorganisms. 2023;11(2).
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>17. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, et al. The strengthening the reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. J Clin Epidemiol. 2008;61(4):344–9.
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>18. Group KAW. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for acute kidney injury. Kidney Int Suppl. 2012;17:1–138.
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>19. Evans L, Rhodes A, Alhazzani W, Antonelli M, Coopersmith CM, French C, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock 2021. Intensive Care Med. 2021;47(11):1181–247.
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>20. Force ADT, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, Ferguson ND, Caldwell E, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin definition. JAMA. 2012;307(23):2526–33.
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>21. Chawla LS, Bellomo R, Bihorac A, Goldstein SL, Siew ED, Bagshaw SM, et al. Acute kidney disease and renal recovery: consensus report of the Acute Disease Quality Initiative (ADQI) 16 workgroup. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2017;13(4):241–57.
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>22. Roberts JA, Abdul-Aziz MH, Lipman J, Mouton JW, Vinks AA, Felton TW, et al. Individualised antibiotic dosing for patients who are critically ill: challenges and potential solutions. Lancet Infect Dis. 2014;14(6):498–509.
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>23. Gobin P, Lemaitre F, Marchand S, Couet W, Olivier JC. Assay of colistin and colistin methanesulfonate in plasma and urine by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010;54(5):1941–8.
- <span id="page-6-19"></span>24. De Pascale G, Pintaudi G, Lisi L, De Maio F, Cutuli SL, Tanzarella ES et al. Use of High-Dose Nebulized Colistimethate in patients with colistin-only susceptible Acinetobacter baumannii VAP: clinical, pharmacokinetic and Microbiome features. Antibiot (Basel). 2023;12(1).
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>25. Gregoire N, Aranzana-Climent V, Magreault S, Marchand S, Couet W. Clinical pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of Colistin. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2017;56(12):1441–60.
- <span id="page-6-21"></span>26. Nation RL, Li J, Cars O, Couet W, Dudley MN, Kaye KS, et al. Framework for optimisation of the clinical use of colistin and polymyxin B: the Prato polymyxin consensus. Lancet Infect Dis. 2015;15(2):225–34.
- <span id="page-6-22"></span>27. Honore PM, Jacobs R, Lochy S, De Waele E, Van Gorp V, De Regt J, et al. Acute respiratory muscle weakness and apnea in a critically ill patient induced by colistin neurotoxicity: key potential role of hemoadsorption elimination during continuous venovenous hemofiltration. Int J Nephrol Renovasc Dis. 2013;6:107–11.
- <span id="page-6-23"></span>28. Li J, Rayner CR, Nation RL, Deans R, Boots R, Widdecombe N, et al. Pharmacokinetics of colistin methanesulfonate and colistin in a critically ill patient receiving continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49(11):4814–5.
- <span id="page-6-24"></span>29. Karvanen M, Plachouras D, Friberg LE, Paramythiotou E, Papadomichelakis E, Karaiskos I, et al. Colistin methanesulfonate and colistin pharmacokinetics in critically ill patients receiving continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(1):668–71.
- <span id="page-6-25"></span>30. Markou N, Fousteri M, Markantonis SL, Zidianakis B, Hroni D, Boutzouka E, et al. Colistin pharmacokinetics in intensive care unit patients on continuous

venovenous haemodiafiltration: an observational study. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67(10):2459–62.

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>31. Karaiskos I, Friberg LE, Galani L, Ioannidis K, Katsouda E, Athanassa Z, et al. Challenge for higher colistin dosage in critically ill patients receiving continuous venovenous haemodiafiltration. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2016;48(3):337–41.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>32. Menna P, Salvatorelli E, Mattei A, Cappiello D, Minotti G, Carassiti M. Modified Colistin Regimen for critically ill patients with Acute Renal impairment and continuous renal replacement therapy. Chemotherapy. 2018;63(1):35–8.
- 33. Mariano F, Leporati M, Carignano P, Stella M, Vincenti M, Biancone L. Efficient removal of colistin A and B in critically ill patients undergoing CVVHDF and sorbent technologies. J Nephrol. 2015;28(5):623–31.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>34. Akers KS, Rowan MP, Niece KL, Stewart IJ, Mende K, Cota JM, et al. Colistin pharmacokinetics in burn patients during continuous venovenous hemofiltration. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015;59(1):46–52.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>35. Leuppi-Taegtmeyer AB, Decosterd L, Osthoff M, Mueller NJ, Buclin T, Corti N. Multicenter Population Pharmacokinetic Study of Colistimethate Sodium and Colistin dosed as in normal renal function in patients on continuous renal replacement therapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019;63(2).
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>36. De Pascale G, Sandroni C, Antonelli M. Colistin use in critically ill patients: in search of the optimal dosing. Chest. 2011;139(1):234. author reply –5.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>37. Gkoufa A, Sou T, Karaiskos I, Routsi C, Lin YW, Psichogiou M, et al. Pulmonary and systemic pharmacokinetics of colistin methanesulfonate (CMS) and formed colistin following nebulisation of CMS among patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2022;59(6):106588.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>38. Boisson M, Jacobs M, Gregoire N, Gobin P, Marchand S, Couet W, et al. Comparison of intrapulmonary and systemic pharmacokinetics of colistin methanesulfonate (CMS) and colistin after aerosol delivery and intravenous administration of CMS in critically ill patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58(12):7331–9.
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>39. Madia F, Merico B, Primiano G, Cutuli SL, De Pascale G, Servidei S. Acute myopathic quadriplegia in patients with COVID-19 in the intensive care unit. Neurology. 2020;95(11):492–4.
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>40. Luigetti M, Iorio R, Bentivoglio AR, Tricoli L, Riso V, Marotta J, et al. Assessment of neurological manifestations in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Eur J Neurol. 2020;27(11):2322–8.

# **Publisher's note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.